Reading Public Schools School Committee Meeting Packet April 1, 2021



Remote Open Meeting 7:00 p.m.

Board - Committee - Commission - Council:

School Committee

Date: 2021-04-01

Time: 7:00 PM

Building:

Location:

Address:

Agenda:

Purpose:

Open Session

Meeting Called By: Samantha LaPierre on behalf of the Chair

Notices and agendas are to be posted 48 hours in advance of the meetings excluding Saturdays, Sundays and Legal Holidays. Please keep in mind the Town Clerk's hours of operation and make necessary arrangements to be sure your posting is made in an adequate amount of time. A listing of topics that the chair reasonably anticipates will be discussed at the meeting must be on the agenda.

All Meeting Postings must be submitted in typed format; handwritten notices will not be accepted.

Topics of Discussion:

		THIS MEETING WILL BE HELD REMOTELY ON MICROSOFT TEAMS. Link will be sent out separately.
7:00 p.m.	Α.	Call to Order
	В.	Public Comment For public comment, please use the live chat feature on the Microsoft Teams link which will be posted on the Superintendent's Blog and send out via ConnectEd prior to the meeting. In lieu of the live chat, you may send an email in advance of the meeting to schoolcommittee@reading.k12.ma.us . This email will be included in the next packet.
7:10p.m	C.	Consent Agenda (A) -Approval of Minutes (3-18-2021)
7:30 p.m.	D.	Reports 1. Students 2. Director of Student Services 3. Assistant Superintendent 4. Chief Financial Officer 5. Superintendent 6. Liaison/Sub-Committee
7:45 p.m.	E.	New Business 1. Birch Meadow Master Plan Presentation



Town of Reading Meeting Posting with Agenda

		 Intermunicipal Agreement with Wakefield – POST Program (A) Vote on Last Day of School (A) Birch Meadow Principal Search Process (A) Superintendent Evaluation (A)
9:00 p.m.	F.	Old Business 1. Spring In Person Update
9:30 p.m	G.	Information / Correspondence 1. Email from Carolyn Johnson – Re: Letter to Reading School Committee 2. Email from Connie Quackenbush – Re: Resolution 3. Email from Jessica Bailey – Re: IMB Policy 4. Email from Krista Rubin – Re: Communication forum 5. Email from Karen Janowski - Re: Comment
9:30p.m.	Н.	Future Business
9:45 p.m.	I.	Adjourn

^{**}Times are approximate

Reading Public Schools School Committee Meeting Packet April 1, 2021



Consent Agenda



Town of Reading Meeting Minutes

Board - Committee - Commission - Council:

School Committee

Date: 2021-03-18 Time: 7:00 PM

Building: Location:

Address: Session: Open Session

Purpose: Open Session Version: Draft

Attendees: Members - Present:

Chuck Robinson, Erin Gaffen, John Parks, Tom Wise, Carla Nazzaro and

Shawn Brandt

Members - Not Present:

Others Present:

Superintendent John Doherty, Assistant Superintendent Chris Kelley, CFO Gail Dowd, Director of Student Services Jen Stys, Human Resourses Director Kerry Meisinger

Minutes Respectfully Submitted By: Samantha LaPierre on behalf of the Chair

Topics of Discussion:

I. Call to Order

Chair Robinson opened the meeting and announced the School Committee was meeting in a virtual setting, fully remote via Microsoft Teams, practicing social distancing and in accordance with the Governor's suspension of certain open meeting laws. The meeting was being recorded and broadcast live on RCTV. The Chair called attendance:

Mr. Robinson – hereDr. Doherty – hereMr. Parks - hereMrs. Dowd – hereMr. Wise – hereMrs. Kelley - hereMrs. Nazzaro – hereDr. Stys – here

Mrs. Gaffen – here Mr. Brandt –here

Mr. Robinson called open session order at 7:00 p.m. and reviewed the agenda.

A. Public Input

Mr. Robinson explained that for public comment, please use the live chat feature on the Microsoft Teams link which will be posted on the Superintendent's Blog and sent out via Connect Ed prior to the meeting.

In lieu of the live chat, you may send an email in advance of the meeting to schoolcommittee@reading.k12.ma.us This email will be included in the next packet.

B. Consent Agenda

Mr. Wise moved, seconded by Mrs. Nazzaro, to approve the Consent Agenda.

- Approval of Minutes 3-4-2021
- Friends of RMHS Cheering Donation
- The Coolidge School Science Olympiad Donation

The roll call vote carried 6-0. Mr. Parks, Mrs. Nazzaro, Mrs. Gaffen, Mr. Brandt, Mr. Wise and Mr. Robinson.

C. Reports

Student

Bridget Parks reported that Fall 2 sports games began last week which include girls swim, football, indoor track, volleyball, and cheer. The first football game is Saturday February 20th against Winchester. Practices for the spring musical 9-5 have begun recently and stage band has begun practicing.

Director of Student Services

No Report

Assistant Superintendent

No Report

Human Resources Director

No Report

Chief Financial Officer

No Report

Superintendent

No Report

School Committee

Mr. Parks – No Report

Mr. Wise referenced the agenda item in the March 4th meeting, to approve the promotion of Allison Wright- he had raised a concern which he stated came out in an awkward fashion. He apologized to Allison. He stated the following week he met with Dr. Stys and Dr. Doherty to discuss Special Education in our district. He stated that he has complete confidence in the direction Dr. Stys and Mrs. Wright are taking the Special Education program. The partnership between Dr. Stys and Mrs. Wright can be seen in the SEPAC meetings and the SEPAC subcommittee on reading- they recently partnered together to build on ideas from parents in the group to create the flyers for the combined parent university SEPAC training series that is starting next Tuesday March 23rd.

Mr. Brandt - No Report

Mrs. Gaffen - No Report

Mrs. Nazzaro - No Report

Mr. Robinson stated that the kickoff meeting with RTA for collective bargaining commenced last week. Chair Robinson stated that during the Fin Com meeting last night they did vote to approve the Town Managers budget, which included the School Committee budget. He thanked Fin Com on behalf of the School Committee.

D. New Business

Portrait of Graduate Update

Dr. Doherty shared his screen on behalf of Principal Boynton. Principal Boynton stated that they participated in the collaborative conference with NEASC in December 2018– and one of the priority areas, which is a foundational element which was identified as an area of need for our schools. Once we received the collaborative conference report- we began developing the Portrait of the Graduate. This has been two years in the making, and this is the first step in creating a preK-12 endeavor, which would have benchmarks along the way, and not just a high school endeavor. The Portrait of the Graduate Design Team, which consists of parents, teachers, students, administrators, and community members, have been meeting since the Fall of 2019 in researching and designing the skills and dispositions- which Principal Boynton referred to as "painting a picture" of who we want our graduates to be when they leave Reading Public Schools. The Design Team used the film Most Likely to Succeed, by Ted Dintersmith as an entry point for people to engage in this process. They had multiple viewings of the film with district leadership, staff, the design team, and the community. They began gathering data and identifying themes. There were multiple surveys sent out to students, families and staff to get feedback along the way in order to craft the language that was used in the final version. This version has been officially voted on by The Design Team and approved by DLT and RMHS Staff. Principal Boynton stated she is very excited, and proud of the final product.

Principal Boynton walked the committee through the Portrait of the Graduate which was included in the packet.

Mr. Parks thanked Principal Boynton for her work on the Portrait of the Graduate, and he stated he was the liaison for this group, and it was amazing to be able to watch a very diverse group work on this statement, from beginning to end.

Mr. Brandt thanked Principal Boynton, and the team for all of their hard work. He stated it was important to have a "North Star" for the committee as we think about policy.

Mrs. Gaffen stated she is impressed by the work by the committee. She thanked Principal Boynton and the committee for the time they have dedicated to this project.

Mrs. Nazzaro and Mr. Wise also thanked Principal Boynton and the committee for their time and effort.

Mr. Wise moved, seconded by Mr. Brandt, to approve the Portrait of the Graduate for the NEASC Accreditation Process. The motion carried 6-0. Mrs. Nazzaro, Mrs. Gaffen, Mr. Parks, Mr. Brandt, Mr. Wise and Mrs. Robinson.

Dissolution of Superintendent Screening Advisory Committee

Chair Robinson stated the next agenda item is housekeeping- the committee needs to dissolve the superintendent screening committee.

Mr. Wise moved, seconded by Mr. Parks, to dissolve the superintendent screening advisory committee. The motion carried 6-0. Mr. Brandt, Mrs. Gaffen, Mrs. Nazzaro, Mr. Parks, Mr. Wise and Mr. Robinson.

Resolution Condemning Harassment and Intimidation

Mr. Brandt provided some background on the agenda item. Mr. Brandt stated that Mr. Parks became aware of and shared with him, an absolutely vile email that had been sent to Principal Boynton. Mr. Brandt believes that it is important for the committee to have a firm response. Mr. Brandt outlined the contents of the email stating that the email was sent from an encrypted mail service. The email address referenced elements of Egyptian mythology, the sender then proceeded to make a series of personal attacks of principal Boynton including multiple instances of profanity and celebrating her upcoming departure from the district. They went on to mock her for using her gender pronounswhich is used to show allyship for transgender students to normalize the use of pronouns. The letter was then signed "you know who", as if to indicate that the person is somehow close to or has interacted with the principal before. Finally, the message closed with one more parting shot at the use of gender pronouns. Mr. Brandt stated that as the board of directors for the school system, the teachers and staff expect the committee to lead and stand up for them. Mr. Brandt read the resolution which was included in the packet.

Mrs. Nazzaro read a prepared statement. She did not agree with sharing an anonymous letter, she stated the school committee does not engage, respond to, or publish anonymous letters or comments. Mrs. Nazzaro directly asked Mr. Parks and Mr. Brandt how they got this letter, why did they request that it be put in the packet, and why did only certain school committee members receive the letter? She stated the committee did not follow typical protocol, this email was received anonymously, and privately and should've been ignored.

Mr. Brandt stated this resolution is to show public support to staff, administration, and members of the LGBTQ community, and he does not believe this type of harassment should be ignored.

Mrs. Nazzaro stated she would be using the Freedom of Information Act to find out how Mr. Parks received this email.

Mrs. Gaffen stated that the resolution comes not just from this email, but from an escalating pattern of people hiding behind anonymous emails, and anonymous social media platforms to harass and intimidate. She stated she believed it was important to support this resolution and make it known that we will not tolerate this type of behavior.

Chair Robinson stated that the resolution would be voted for in the April 1st meeting.

E. Old Business

Second Reading of the Policy IMB

Mr. Wise moved, seconded by Mr. Brandt, to approve the second reading of Policy IMB.

Dr. Doherty stated there are two Policy IMB in the packet, the first is the original from 2007, and the second is a relined version with revisions. Since November, he has gotten

feedback from staff and students, as well as Mr. Brandt and Mrs. Nazzaro. Dr. Doherty walked the committee through the redlined version and discussed the revisions that had been made.

Mrs. Nazzaro stated that this policy was totally rewritten, and it is no longer an IMB policy, and stated that the process failed. She recommended that the committee revisit this policy after July 1st.

Mr. Brandt stated that he believes this policy still meets the fundamental objects of Policy IMB. He stated this policy is intended to do two things, first is to affirm the role and the responsibility of teachers and schools to provide space for students to engage in difficult topics and secondly is to provide clear guidelines about how teachers conduct those discussions. Mr. Brandt stated he feels good about the new policy and believes the committee should adopt the revised policy.

The committee offered additional amendments that needed to be made- the committee decided that they could not take a final vote until a clean version was created.

Mr. Wise moved, seconded by Mr. Brandt to table the vote on Policy IMB until the next meeting. The roll call vote carried 5-1. Mr. Brandt, Mrs. Gaffen, Mr. Parks, Mr. Wise and Mr. Robinson.

Spring In-Person Update

Dr. Doherty provided a spring in-person update. Dr. Doherty provided an update on the number of positive student and staff cases per day in February and March, as well as total cases as of March 18th. He stated that 88.9% of staff are at some stage of the vaccination process. Dr. Doherty gave an update on pool testing. He stated that there have been 478 pools thus far, 5 positive pools and 1 inconclusive, which resulted in positive. DESE is funding pool testing until April 18th.

Dr. Doherty discussed the mitigation strategies for in person learning. He also addressed the challenges that schools are facing returning to full in person. Dr. Doherty discussed the elementary transition plan in preparation for the April 5th return to school for grades 1-5, as well as the secondary transition plan for the April 26th return to school for grades 6-12.

Mr. Wise asked if there would be paid bussing option available for the return to full in person school.

Dr. Doherty replied that there would not be a paid bussing option, only state mandated bussing required for students who live two or more miles from schools.

Director of Food Services Danielle Collins presented on food insecurity and food distribution. She stated that free meals for students would continue to go on both in school and through mela distribution until the end of June. Mrs. Collins shared data according to a study by FEMA's Data Analytics Task Force which showed Massachusetts is the only state in New England where households responded to the census that they received more food from schools/ community organizations than the food pantry network. Mrs. Collins stated that USDA extended meal waivers through September 30, 2021. They want to "provide certainty for the summer months ahead", which means districts can continue to serve meals through the summer Food Service Program and Seamless Summer Option. Mrs. Collins thanked everyone involved in the food distribution process and for helping to make this program so successful.

F. Public Comment

Geoffrey Coram, Ridge Rd:

"I would like to echo my comment that's in the packet, thanking principal Boynton for her continued excitement over the development of the Portrait."

Kate Boynton, Principal:

"Respectfully, I feel supported by Shawn and John. I agreed to have the email in the packet to air light on this issue."

Kate Boynton, Principal:

"I also fully support the resolution. I want to clarify that I did not send the email to anyone on school committee."

Megan Howie, RMHS Teacher:

"It is evident more now than ever that the message to the community from both the central off and the school committee is made clear. There are not two sides to equity and justice issue. As Michael Kay state in the article, "Publicly Expresses Support Is Powerful." A United Front on Equity - Educational Leadership (ascd.org) "Please read this article and take a stance. This should be a top priority. Part of the reason why letters are written like this is because there has not been a strong enough stance from the School Committee and Central Office."

Danja Mahoney, WL Dept. Chair and RMHS:

"When cowards are allowed to continue to send hateful and vile letter, and aren't called out for that behavior, they are afforded more power than they deserve. Airing this issue and shedding light on it is vital to make the kind of change that will lead to the vision of what we want our graduates to be. We want critical and thoughtful graduates who show empathy and concern for others. I appreciate that this is being addresses respectfully in a public forum. Thank you."

Geoffrey Coram, Ridge Rd:

"Responding to the tone of an anonymous letter is different from responding to the questions in it."

Geoffrey Coram, Ridge Rd:

"Can IT configure the RPS mail servers to reject all messages from the anonymous server in question?"

Kristen Granara, 36 County Rd:

"I believe this disgusting, hateful email being brought up is only giving more attention to the sender."

Jess Bailey, RMHS Teacher:

"I appreciate the concern that perhaps talking about this matter will bring more attention to the sender, and I also understand the worry that addressing this issue publicly doesn't solve the problem. Perhaps the problems needs to be reframed – the send of this email isn't going to be persuaded that they were wrong because of this resolution. But principal Boynton, along with the rest of the RPS staff, will hear a strong showing of support for staff and that the School Committee wont tolerate this kind of targeted attack against educators. To me, THAT'S the important message -you are shining light on your support for educators, not this vile message."

Geoffrey Coram, Ridge Rd:

"In the first paragraph, I think "can create a difference of opinion" should be "can expose a difference of opinion."

Sherilla Lestrade, 28 Lindsey St:

"What is new and different about the teachings of history, politics and public concerns than we have been teaching children since kindergarten? We are a community that states we want to teach our children freedom of expression in a respectful manner but still want to sensor and stifle their opinions? How is that possible? Is this now a big issue because people are not comfortable because now actual facts and current affairs are being discussed vs what is written about in history books and now shows both sides of the conversation?"

Geoffrey Coram, Ridge Rd:

"I wonder if "public debate" would be a better term, to address Mr. Wise's concern that "public concern" is too broad for, and the point of the policy is to encourage participation in democracy in the form of discussing or debating such topics."

Sherilla Lestrade, 28 Lindsey St:

"How is it parenting students when we are allowing students the open space to have discussions freely that they are having anyways and have been having ever since the beginning of school? Is the bigger question allowing authentic conversations or conversations that some people are not willing to allow such as racism, politics, LGBTQ?"

Sherilla Lestrade, 28 Lindsey St:

Why is that it that everyone always talks about kids mental health being an issue but wants to smoother authentic conversations? Is the problem that this issue has been brough forward by a community of teachers as it was so graciously state by Mrs. Nazzaro that it was brought to you by people who believe."

Sherilla Lestrade, 28 Lindsey St:

"I have one request of the SC and that is, why is it ok for teachers and students to return back to school but SC is still meeting virtually? What is it going to take for the next meeting and everyone moving forward to be in person? I feel that some of the discussions that are occurring need to take place in person to allow for immediate responses to some of the concerns and can be attended to as they are brought up."

Tim Mathieu, Briarwood Ave:

"I have a public comment: E-mail notices of School Committee meetings and packet distribution should not occur only hours prior to the meeting. Today's (3/18) notice was received at 4:48 PM for a 7:00 PM meeting. This is an on-going pattern. The ¾ packet was issued 3-hours prior to the meeting and included over 200 pages of material. Given all the issues impacting our children's schools, working parents need adequate time to assess the agenda and materials. If the packet can be posted to a town web site 48-hours in advance, certainly an email of at least 24-48 hours prior to the meeting is more than reasonable and still less than commonplace in the private sector. Thank you."

- 1. Routine Matters
 - a. Calendar
- 2. <u>Information/Correspondence</u>
- 3. Future Business
- 4. Adjournment

Adjourn

Mr. Parks moved, seconded by Mr. Brandt, to adjourn. The roll call vote carried 6-0. Mr. Parks, Mr. Brandt, Mrs. Gaffen, Mrs. Nazzaro, Mr. Wise and Mr. Robinson.

The meeting adjourned at 10:45 p.m.

<u>NOTE:</u> The minutes reflect the order as stated in the posted meeting agenda not the order they occurred during the meeting.

Link to meeting video: School Committee 03-18-2021 - YouTube

Reading Public Schools School Committee Meeting Packet April 1, 2021



New Business



Office of the Town Manager 16 Lowell Street Reading, MA 01867

781-942-9043 townmanager@ci.reading.ma.us www.readingma.gov/town-manager

To: 5

School Committee

Dr. John Doherty

From: Bob LeLacheur, Jr. CFA

Date: March 28, 2021

RE: Recreation presentation to the School Committee on April 1st

Consultant Mark Novak from Activitas will make a presentation this upcoming Thursday concerning suggested improvements to Athletic and Recreation fields and spaces, with a focus on areas that are under School Committee control, or space that is proximate to these areas.

The Recreation Committee and Town and School staff have been working on this topic for a few years. The plans you see have been vetted with public input and recently reviewed by the Select Board, but should still be considered to be in draft form.

Some of the suggested improvements are in the current Capital Plan, and in fact work on the RMHS Stadium Turf I and Track are proceeding to Annual Town Meeting with the support of the Finance Committee.

Other suggested improvements are on the capital plan without any timing or identified funding, and some are not on the capital plan.

Between now and the Fall 2021 Subsequent Town Meeting, the status of the suggested improvements will be discussed further, and prioritized for future capital plan revisions. I look forward to the feedback by the School Committee on suggested changes.

John F. Doherty, Ed. D. Superintendent of Schools

Christine M. Kelley Assistant Superintendent for Learning and Teaching

82 Oakland Road Reading, MA 01867 Phone: 781-944-5800 Fax: 781-942-9149



Gail S. Dowd, CPA Chief Financial Officer

Jennifer A. Stys, Ed.D. Assistant Superintendent for Student Services

Kerry M. Meisinger, J.D. Human Resources Director

Reading Public Schools

Instilling a joy of learning and inspiring the innovative leaders of tomorrow

TO: Reading School Committee

FROM: Gail Dowd, Chief Financial Officer

Jen Stys, Assistant Superintendent of Student Services

CC: John Doherty. Superintendent of Schools

DATE: April 1, 2021

RE: POST Program Approval

Attached please find the proposed three-year intermunicipal agreement between the Town of Reading and the Town of Wakefield to continue the Providing Opportunities for Successful Transition (POST) program, a post-secondary program for students with special education needs who are 18-22 years old. Because it is an intermunicipal agreement, the approval process includes votes by the School Committee and Select Board/Town Council from both communities.

The POST Program is housed in the Town of Wakefield and provides experiential and educational opportunities in the areas of daily living, employment, community inclusion, recreation, leisure and real-world academic skills in order to promote successful transition to adulthood. The main goal for the program is for young adults to gain the necessary skills to become confident, independent, and integrated members in the Wakefield and Reading communities. The program focuses on the development of vocational and life skills, along with community, recreation and leisure skills.

Due to the pandemic, students did not have as many opportunities to go on job sites. In past, job sites have included Lee Kimball in Reading, Melrose-Wakefield Hospital, Beebe Library, The Susan Brudnick Center for Living, Wingate in Reading, RMS Student Services and RISE preschool. Prior to this year students also take trips into their community. Trips in the past have included a tour of the State House, the McAuliffe-Shepard Discovery Center in Concord, NH and hiking at the Ipswich Wildlife Sanctuary. The goal of these community outings is to work on travel training skills, money and tying community to current events. Students are working on self-advocacy, asking for help when they cannot find an item and purchasing items when they visit stores. The students are also getting together with other 18-22 programs in the area. A highlight for the students and the staff in the past has been the annual Thanksgiving Dinner that is prepared by the students and open to current students, alumni of the program, staff and administrators from both Districts.

The program is staffed by employees of the Wakefield Public Schools. The staff includes 2 special education teachers and 4 job coach/paraprofessionals for the 12 students that are currently enrolled. During the 20-21 school year Reading had 2 students attend the program.

The proposed agreement continues to allow greater flexibility in cost share due to quarterly or annual fluctuations in enrollments (See section 4 of agreement). We are also looking at the possibility of trying to get additional communities to participate in the program, which will further reduce overall shared costs.

This intermunicipal partnership allows our students to remain local, increase their social networks and access local internships. Merrimac Heights, a comparable program located in Merrimac, MA has a tuition of \$84,761 per student for 180 days. The LABB collaborative offers a program in Lexington at a rate of \$80,254 per student (this includes the summer program). Our agreement offers a high-quality program for our students as they transition from high school to their postsecondary experience.

Inter-Municipal Agreement Between

Town of Reading

and

Town of Wakefield

This Inter-Municipal Agreement ("Agreement") is made this ____ day of ______, 2021 pursuant to the provisions of Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 40, Section 4A, and every other power they may have, by and between the Town of Reading, a municipal corporation with an address of 16 Lowell Street, Reading, MA 01867 (hereinafter referred to as "Reading"), acting by and through its Select Board, and the Town of Wakefield, a municipal corporation with an address of 1 Lafayette Street, Wakefield, MA 01880 (hereinafter referred to as "Wakefield"), acting by and through its Town Council

RECITALS

Whereas, Wakefield and Reading (hereinafter collectively referred to as the "Towns") desire to achieve economies by sharing the services of a Purposeful Opportunities for Successful Transition Program (the "POST Program"), to be carried out by the Reading and Wakefield School Committees (hereinafter referred to as the "Reading Public Schools" and "Wakefield Public Schools", respectively) in accordance with the terms of this Agreement; and

Whereas, pursuant to G.L. c. 40, §4A, this Agreement has been approved by the Select Board of Reading and Town Council of Wakefield on the recommendations of the School Committees of the Reading and Wakefield;

Now, therefore, in consideration of the premises set forth above, and the mutual promises set forth below, and for other good and valuable consideration the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, the undersigned hereby agree as follows:

- 1. Purpose of the POST Program. The POST Program is a collaborative post-secondary special education program for students 18-22 years of age, housed in the Town of Wakefield, that provides experiential and educational opportunities in the areas of daily living, employment, community inclusion, recreation, leisure and real world academic skills in order to promote successful transition to adulthood. The main goal for the program is for young adults to gain the necessary skills to become confident, independent, and integrated members in the Wakefield and Reading communities.
- 2. POST Program Staff. The Wakefield Public Schools shall appoint and employ all POST Program Staff, provided however, that all employment-related decisions shall be made after consultation and collaboration with Reading. POST Program Staff shall consist of the following: two (2) Special Education Teachers / Educators four (4) Job

Coach / Instructional Support Persons; and a portion of Wakefield's Special Education Coordinator (collectively the "POST Program Staff"). Staffing levels are subject to change based upon enrollment and individual student needs. The Wakefield Public Schools shall be responsible for the salaries paid and all employment-related benefits granted to the POST Program Staff. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, the Wakefield Public Schools shall be responsible for any medical or other insurance, retirement contributions, workers' compensation coverage, unemployment benefits, and other leave to which the POST Program Staff may be entitled by law or by contract. The Wakefield Public Schools (with prior communication and collaboration from Reading Public Schools) shall be responsible for payment of programmatic contracted service costs for the group, supply costs, utility cost, vehicle lease payments, vehicle and grounds maintenance costs, and other program related costs (including but not limited to vehicle insurance, maintenance, fuel and registration).

3. Enrollment.

- a. Enrollment from Wakefield and Reading. Enrollment of Wakefield and Reading students in the POST Program shall be based on needs, as designated in a student's Individualized Education Plan. The Towns anticipate and recognize that enrollment will fluctuate each year.
- b. Enrollment from other communities outside of Wakefield and Reading. The POST Program may from time to time allow other communities to pay tuition to enroll students (based upon enrollment openings) in the POST Program. Any tuition collected will be paid to Wakefield Public Schools and deposited into a Revolving Account. Tuition amounts will be set based on agreed amounts by Wakefield and Reading Superintendents for needed services for each student. See Section 4 below, for terms of distributing tuition amounts to Reading and Wakefield.
- 4. Calculation of cost share for the term of this Agreement. Cost share calculations will occur on 9/30 (for 7/1 9/30 period), 12/31 (for 10/1 12/31 period), 3/31 (for 1/1 3/31 period) and 6/30 (for 4/1 6/30 period). Ratio of total students will be used to calculate percentage of cost share. A student attending for 1 or more days during the period/cycle would be included in the count. Example of cost share proposal, if the period 7/1 9/30 had 5 WPS and 4 RPS students, the cost share would be 55.6% Wakefield (5/9) and 44.4% Reading (4/9) for the period referenced. At no time (period / cycle) should either District be responsible for more than 70% or less than 30% of the total cost share for example if ratios were 8 WPS and 3 RPS the structure would be 72.7 % and 27.3% respectively however, seeing as the minimum / maximum is in effect, the actual cost share would be 70% WPS and 30% RPS. Quarterly billing amounts will not be recalculated based on other quarters for example, if the 70/30 rule was in effect for one period, it would not play into any other period unless that period also qualified for 70/30 rule. Payments will be due on 10/15 (for 7/1 9/30 period), 1/15 (for 10/1 12/31 period), 4/15 (for 1/1 3/31

period) and 6/25 (for 4/1 - 6/30 period). When students from communities other than Reading or Wakefield attend the POST academy as identified in Section 3B, the same cost share formulas will be utilized to divide up the offset. For example, based on the ratio example in Section 4, if Town X tuition is 1 student for \$45,000 then 55.6% of that \$45,000 would be credited to Wakefield costs and 44.4% to Reading costs.

In the event that Reading's or Wakefield's enrollment at POST Academy during a specific quarter is zero students, the cost share listed above will no longer be in effect and that district will be responsible for 15% of the program costs for that period.

Related Direct Services for individual students will be scheduled, provided and paid for by the student's home district. These costs will not be included in any cost share calculation. Examples of Direct Services may include, but are not limited to Occupational / Physical Therapy, Speech & Language, Academics that require structured targeted intervention above and beyond the academic instruction that is provided in the program (Wilson etc.) Counseling and BCBA Services.

The districts agree to meet quarterly for the purpose of financial and programmatic review / planning. Meetings may be held in person, via conference call or via electronic mail.

- 5. Program location and renovations. The location of the POST Program is 5 Oak Street, Wakefield, MA. Ongoing repairs and needs in consultation with Reading specifically related to the program shall be shared (based on the cost share formula set forth in Section 4 of this agreement-percent shall be based upon the quarter when the repairs are complete. In the event the repairs continue over multiple quarters the quarter with the higher number of students shall be used).
- 6. School year / work time. The POST Program will follow the calendar of the Wakefield Public Schools including, but not limited to the start date, end date, professional development day(s) and early releases. All work hours will be as listed in the Wakefield Education Association Unit A and Unit C Collective Bargaining Agreements ("CBA"). Employees will work 184 days as contracted in the CBA. The POST Program will be closed for weather related purposes based solely on the decision of the Superintendent of the Wakefield Public Schools.
- 7. **Performance Evaluation.** The Wakefield Public Schools will follow all laws, regulations, and collective bargaining agreements for their employees in the POST program regarding the educator evaluation process, bullying and harassment, and employee performance. In addition, the Wakefield Public Schools will consult with the Reading Superintendent of Schools or designee regarding the hiring, evaluation, and supervision of employees of the POST program. In addition, any employee issues brought forth by the Reading Public Schools will be thoroughly investigated in a timely manner.

- a. Evaluations for Educators (Unit A Personnel) will be conducted in accordance with the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the Wakefield School Committee and the Wakefield Education Association with input as appropriate from Reading Public Schools Administration.
- **b.** Evaluations for Instructional Support Personnel (Unit C Personnel) will be conducted in accordance with the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the Wakefield School Committee and the Wakefield Instructional Support Personnel Association with input as appropriate from Reading Public Schools Administration.
- 8. Term. The term of this Agreement (the "Term) shall initially be for a period of three (3) years commencing on September 1, 2021 and ending on June 30, 2024, unless earlier terminated in accordance with this Agreement.
- 9. Termination. This Agreement may be terminated at any time by agreement of the Towns and will terminate sixty (60) days after either Town gives written notice to the other of such Town's desire to terminate this Agreement. No such termination shall affect any obligation of indemnification that may have arisen hereunder prior to such termination. The Towns shall equitably adjust any payments made or due relating to the unexpired portion of the Term following such termination, including without limitation any payment made by the Wakefield Public Schools under Section 2, above.
- 10. Financial Safeguards. Wakefield shall maintain separate, accurate and comprehensive records of all services performed for each of the Towns hereto, and all contributions received from Reading. Wakefield shall arrange for the performance of annual audits of such records. Wakefield shall ensure that all officers or staff responsible for carrying out the terms and conditions of this Agreement shall give appropriate performance bonds. Wakefield shall provide the Towns with quarterly expenditure and revenue reports and any other information reasonably requested by Reading to present a complete picture of the financial condition of the POST Program. Wakefield shall also provide Reading with an annual audit of the POST Program as soon as practicable following the expiration of the academic year. The Towns shall share equally in the cost of such audit and all other financial reporting.
- 11. Assignment. Neither Town shall assign or transfer any of its rights or interests in or to this Agreement, or delegate any of its obligations hereunder, without the prior written consent of the other.
- 12. Severability. If any provision of this Agreement is held by a court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid, illegal, or unenforceable, or if any such term is so held when applied to any particular circumstance, such invalidity, illegality, or unenforceability shall not affect any other provision of this Agreement, or affect the application of such provision to any other circumstances, and this Agreement shall be

- construed and enforced as if such invalid, illegal, or unenforceable provision were not contained herein.
- 13. Waiver. The obligations and conditions set forth in this Agreement may be waived only by a writing signed by the Town waiving such obligation or condition. Forbearance or indulgence by a Town shall not be construed as a waiver, nor limit the remedies that would otherwise be available to that party under this Agreement or applicable law. No waiver of any breach or default shall constitute or be deemed evidence of a waiver of any other breach or default.
- **14. Amendment.** This Agreement may be amended only by a writing signed by the Select Board/Town Council of both Towns, duly authorized thereunto.
- **15. Governing Law.** This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the substantive law of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.
- **16. Heading.** The paragraph headings herein are for convenience only, are no part of this Agreement and shall not affect the construction of the terms of this Agreement.
- 17. Notices. Any notice permitted or required hereunder to be given or served on either Town by the other shall be in writing signed in the name of or on behalf of the other Town giving or serving the same. Notice shall be deemed to have been received at the time of actual receipt of any hand delivery or three (3) business days after the date of any properly addressed notice sent by mail as set forth below.
 - A. To the Town of Reading. Any notice to Reading shall be delivered by hand or sent by registered or certified mail, return receipt requested, postage prepaid, to the Town Manager and the Superintendent of the Reading Public Schools.
 - **B.** To the Town of Wakefield. Any notice to Wakefield shall be delivered by hand or sent by registered or certified mail, return receipt requested, postage prepaid, to the Town Administrator and the Superintendent of the Wakefield Public Schools.
- **18. Complete Agreement.** This Agreement constitutes the entire agreement between the Towns concerning the subject matter hereof, superseding all prior agreements and understandings. There are no other agreements or understandings between the Towns concerning the subject matter hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have set their hands and seals as of the first date set forth above.

TOWN OF READING SELECTBOARD		
JEDEC I BOARD		
TOWN OF WAKEFIELD		
TOWN COUNCIL		
	ruma	

c:\Wakefield\IMA-Reading-POST Program-Final

John F. Doherty, Ed. D. Superintendent of Schools

Christine M. Kelley Assistant Superintendent for Learning and Teaching

82 Oakland Road Reading, MA 01867 Phone: 781-944-5800 Fax: 781-942-9149



Gail S. Dowd, CPA Chief Financial Officer

Jennifer A. Stys, Ed.D. Assistant Superintendent for Student Services

Kerry M. Meisinger, J.D. Human Resources Director

Reading Public Schools

Instilling a joy of learning and inspiring the innovative leaders of tomorrow

To: Reading School Committee

From: John F. Doherty, Ed.D., Superintendent of Schools

Date: April 1, 2021

Re: Last Day of School

At the April 1, 2021 School Committee Meeting, I will be asking the Committee to vote on the last day of school for students and staff. This year we have had two snow days due to adverse weather conditions. Therefore, the 170^{th} day for students will be on Monday, June 21^{st} . The student dismissal time will be 11:00 a.m. and teachers will have a full day of school.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

		,	

John F. Doherty, Ed. D. Superintendent of Schools

Christine M. Kelley
Assistant Superintendent for Learning and Teaching

82 Oakland Road Reading, MA 01867 Phone: 781-944-5800 Fax: 781-942-9149



Gail S. Dowd, CPA Chief Financial Officer

Jennifer A. Stys, Ed.D. Assistant Superintendent for Student Services

Kerry M. Meisinger, J.D. Human Resources Director

Reading Public Schools

Instilling a joy of learning and inspiring the innovative leaders of tomorrow

To: Reading School Committee

From: John F. Doherty, Ed.D., Superintendent of Schools

Date: April 1, 2021

Re: Birch Meadow Principal Search

At the April 1, 2021 School Committee Meeting, I will be asking the Committee to vote on the Birch Meadow Principal Search Process to replace Julia Hendrix, who submitted her resignation last week. Mrs. Hendrix has been the Principal at Birch Meadow for the last five years and has done an outstanding job. I want to thank Mrs. Hendrix for her dedication to the children of Reading and wish her success in future endeavors.

The search process outlined is very similar to other administrative searches that we are currently conducting in the district. There will be a screening committee of administrators, teachers, and parents that will do the initial work to move forward prefinalists that will lead to the announcement of finalists for the position. Those finalists will go through a public interview process with staff and community. A final decision will be made in May.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Reading Public Schools Screening Committee Birch Meadow Elementary Principal

Please note: All information and discussions by screening committee members are confidential and cannot be shared during the process or after the process is complete.

I. Screening Committee Members

- **a.** The Screening Committee will be facilitated by Kerry Meisinger, Human Resources Director, and will consist of the following members:
 - Beth Leavitt, Barrows Principal
 - Allison Wright, Director of Special Education
 - Team Chair
 - Teacher
 - Teacher
 - Teacher
 - Secretary
 - Parent
 - Parent
 - Parent
- b. To the extent possible, the composition of the committee will represent the many constituencies that comprise the Reading Public School Community.
- c. Superintendent will attend all interviews and deliberations as part of the decision-making process. The Superintendent-Elect will be consulted throughout the process and will be involved in the final decision.

II. Schedule All dates and times are tentative and may change during the process.

Date	Time	Event	Location
Week of		Position posted on	Online
March 29		M.A.S.S./Talent Ed/School Spring/METCO	
		Indeed.com/Mass Partnership for Diversity and	
		Education/Other recruiting tools	
April 1	7:00 p.m.	School Committee Review of Timeline/Process	Virtual
Week of		Survey Staff, Parents, Administrators	Online
April 5th			Surveys
	-	Solicit Members for Screening Committee	
April 14	4:00 p.m.	Organizational Meeting with Screening Committee	Virtual
April 28	4:00 p.m.	Meeting to Design Questions	Virtual
April 30		Deadline for Applications	
May 6	7:30 a.m	1st Round Candidate Interviews and selection of pre-finalists	Virtual
	5:00 p.m.	forwarded to Superintendent of Schools	
May 7-14	Ongoing	Vetting Process of Prefinalists	Virtual
		Announcement of Finalists to Community	
		Superintendent Interviews with DLT and COLT	
		Open Microphone Night(s) with Community and Staff	

	Site Visits to District Final Superintendent Interview	71 massa
Week of May 17	Staff and Community Announcement of Appointment	

:

t

John F. Doherty, Ed. D. Superintendent of Schools

Christine M. Kelley Assistant Superintendent for Learning and Teaching

82 Oakland Road Reading, MA 01867 Phone: 781-944-5800 Fax: 781-942-9149



Gail S. Dowd, CPA Chief Financial Officer

Jennifer A. Stys, Ed.D. Assistant Superintendent for Student Services

Kerry M. Meisinger, J.D. Human Resources Director

Reading Public Schools

Instilling a joy of learning and inspiring the innovative leaders of tomorrow

To:

Reading School Committee

From:

Chuck Robinson.

Reading School Committee Chair

Date:

April 1, 2021

Re:

Superintendent Evaluation

At the April 1, 2021 School Committee Meeting, I will be asking the Committee to vote on the Reading Public Schools Superintendent Summative Evaluation.

READING PUBLIC SCHOOLS SUPERINTENDENT EVALUATION

Summative Form

2020-2021 School Year

School Committee Overall dgschoolcommittee@reading.k12.ma.us

End-of-Cycle Summative Evaluation Report: Superintendent



Superintendent:	Ur. Doherty				April 2021	
Evaluator:	School Committee			1	April 2021	
	Name		Signature		Date	
Step 1: Assess Progress To	Step 1: Assess Progress Toward Goals (Reference performance goals; check one for each set of goal[s].)	ırmance goals; che	ck one for each set of gc	oal[s].)		
Professional Practice Goal(s)	(s) Did Not Meet	Some Progress	x∐ Significant Progress	Met	û	Exceeded
Student Learning Goal(s)	☐ Did Not Meet	Some Progress	x∐ Significant Progress	Met		Exceeded
District Improvement Goal(s)	(s) Did Not Meet	Some Progress	x∐ Significant Progress	Met	û 	Exceeded
Unsatisfactory = Performance on a standard or overall has not significantly improved below the requirements of a standard or overall and is considered inadequate, or both. Needs Improvement/Developing = Performance on a standard or overall is below the Unsatisfactory at the time. Improvement is necessary and expected. Proficient = Proficient practice is understood to be fully satisfactory. This is the Exemplary = A rating of Exemplary indicates that practice significantly exceeds Profic	Unsatisfactory = Performance on a standard or overall has not significantly improved following a rating of Needs Improvement, or performance is consistently below the requirements of a standard or overall and is considered inadequate, or both. Needs Improvements of a standard or overall and is considered inadequate, or both. Unsatisfactory at the time. Improvement is necessary and expected. Proficient = Proficient practice is understood to be fully satisfactory. This is the rigorous expected level of performance. Exemplary = A rating of Exemplary indicates that practice significantly exceeds Proficient and could serve as a model of practice regionally or statewide.	If you improved following a rating of <i>Needs Improvement</i> , or performance is consistante, or both. It is below the requirements of a standard or overall but is not considered to be This is the rigorous expected level of performance. Ceeds <i>Proficient</i> and could serve as a model of practice regionally or statewide.	thy improved following a rating of Needs Improvement, or performance is consistently uate, or both. It is below the requirements of a standard or overall but is not considered to be standard or overall but is not consider	Unsatisfactory	Meeds fing from the first firs	Exemplary
Standard I: Instructional Leadership	adership				<u>P</u>	П
Standard II: Management and Operations	and Operations				X	
Standard III: Family and Community Engagement	ommunity Engagement				X	
Standard IV: Professional Culture	Sulture			0	×	

End-of-Cycle Summative Evaluation Report: Superintendent



Step 3: Rate Overall Summative Performance (Based on Step 1 and Step 2 ratings; check one.)

☐ Exemplary
x⊟ Proficient
Needs Improvement
Unsatisfactory

Step 4: Add Evaluator Comments

Comments and analysis are recommended for any rating but are required for an overall summative rating of Exemplary, Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory.

Comments:

Overall the Committee's rating of Dr. Doherty was Proficient. Key aspects that led to this rating were as follows:

- Dr. Doherty along with CFO Dowd managed a budget dominated by COVID pressures extremely well
- Dr. Doherty's handling of the District' remote learning during an unprecedented COVID 19 crisis was done well
- Communication to the Committee and the Community was frequent and timely. While the message was not always well received it was defended and articulated well.
- Dr. Doherty's work ethic has never come in question and extremely strong.
- Dr. Doherty's collaboration with the Municipal side of government during COVID -19 and in general has been very good.
- Dr. Doherty has done a very good job in executing his exit plan and continues to collaborate very well with Superintendent Elect Mr. Milaschewski.
- There was some concern with a few committee members regarding the time frame it took to fill the vacant HR Director position which and the critical need during COVID-19 issues.
- There was concern amongst the committee regarding the timeliness of getting grades 3-5 and High School level students back to Hybrid sooner.



Superintendent's Performance Goals

Superintendents must identify at least one student learning goal, one professional practice goal, and two to four district improvement goals. Goals should be SMART and aligned to at least one focus Indicator from the Standards for Effective Administrative Leadership.

Superintendents mand aligned to at le	ust identify at ast one focus	Superintendents must identify at least one student learning goal, one professional practice goal, and two to four district improvement goals. Goals should be SMART and aligned to at least one focus Indicator from the Standards for Effective Administrative Leadership.	JoV 1	l.ess e	ificant ress		pəpə
Goals	Focus Indicator	Description	l bid ləəM	Som Prog	Sign Prog	39M	ээхЭ
Student Learning Goal	1A, 1B, 1C, 1E	During the 2020-2023 School Years, we will improve our literacy evaluation process, enhance our reading instructional strategies, and structure our progress monitoring more effectively for students who are struggling readers. Based on our work, we will see progress as evidenced by reviewing previous case studies of students who struggled with Tier 2 interventions and comparing the following: an increase in identifying struggling readers earlier, students demonstrating growth on their IEP literacy goals, and fewer out of district placements with specific learning disabilities.		Dx			
Professional Practice Goal 1	8	During the 2020-21 school year, the Reading Public Schools will begin a three-year plan to develop and implement a talent diversification and leadership development strategy. The success of this plan will be evidenced by an increase in pipeline development, recruitment, and retention of staff of color. Through the implementation of this plan, we will see an increase in staff of color by 100% (6 to 12) by the end of the 2022-23 school year and an increase in Reading staff who have been promoted from a teaching position to a leadership position (i.e. curriculum coordinator, department chair, assistant principal, team chair, principal, central office administrator). Currently, we have 10 former Reading teachers who are in leadership positions.	٥	D _X		0	
Professional Practice Goal 2	IIA, IIE	School Physical and Psychological Safety (Aligned with Strategic Objective C)-During the 2020-2021 school year, we will continue to build and implement an infrastructure and a set of policies and procedures that will support the physical and psychological safety of our staff and students. The evidence to support a successful completion of this goal will be a positive overall response in the 2020-2021 staff survey of PRIDE in school leadership, shared decision-making, and school climate as evidenced by the PRIDE staff survey and a positive overall response in student learning environment scores (school life) as evidenced by the PRIDE student survey, VOCAL data (school climate section) and YRBS data (mental health section).			D _X		
Professional Practice Goal 3	IIIA, IIIC, IVE	District Vision of the Graduate (Aligned with Strategic Objective A)-Over the next school year, I will work with Reading educators, parents, local officials and community members to learn about and develop a vision of what skills, knowledge, and dispositions Reading students should attain and develop during their preK-12 years in our schools. Through these conversations, we will develop and receive approval of a district vision of the graduate which will be used as a vision statement for the Reading Public Schools and for the NEASC Accreditation Process.			<u></u>		
Professional Practice Goal 4	IIB, IVC	During the 2020-2021 School Year, I will create and implement an exit plan to support the transition of the new Superintendent of the Reading Public Schools. The success of this plan will be measured by the timely completion of all action items in this year's District Improvement plan and Superintendent's goals, other annual tasks, and give the incoming Superintendent as much information as possible for a successful transition on July 1, 2021.			D _×		
District Improvement Goal A	1E, IIA, IIIC, IVF	Based on our work since March, 2020, the school district will build a foundation of teaching and learning practices, infrastructure changes, assessment practices, and operations efficiencies to prepare schools for current conditions and future challenges in a post-pandemic world. This strategic objective may be extended based on the trajectory of the pandemic.			×		
District Improvement Goal B	1A, 1B, 1C, 1F	Based on qualitative and quantitative data analysis, the school district will evaluate and refine standards-based instructional systems to meet the needs of all learners. Please note that state standardized data will look different and may be less available in a post pandemic world.		2			
District Improvement Goal C	IIA	Based on quantitative and qualitative data analysis, the school district will review our equity and social justice practices, analyze student social emotional growth, and refine systems of support to ensure a healthy and successful learning community while meeting the unique needs of students.		×			

	Standards and Indicators for Eff Superintendents should idently 1-2 focus Is	Standards and Indicators for Effective Administrative Leadership Superintendents should identify 1-2 focus Indicators per Standard alianed to their anals.	
I. Instructional Leadership	II. Management & Operations	III. Family & Community Engagement	W. Professional Culture
I-A. Curriculum I-B. Instruction I-C. Assessment I-D. Evaluation I-E. Data-Informed Decision making I-F. Student Learning	II-A. Environment II-B. HR Management and Development II-C. Scheduling & Management Information Systems II-D. Law, Ethics and Policies II-E. Fiscal Systems	III-A. Engagement III-B. Sharing Responsibility III-C. Communication III-D. Family Concerns	IV-A. Commitment to High Standards IV-B. Cultural Proficiency IV-C. Communication IV-D. Continuous Learning IV-E. Shared Vision
			IV-F. Managing Conflict



Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard I: Instructional Leadership

Rai	Rate each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to superintendent goal(s).)	ב	Z	Ь	ш
I-A.	 Curriculum: Ensures that all instructional staff design effective and rigorous standards-based units of instruction consisting of well-structured lessons with measurable outcomes. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes) 			X	О
I-B.	. Instruction: Ensures that practices in all settings reflect high expectations regarding content and quality of effort and work, engage all students, and are personalized to accommodate diverse learning styles, needs, interests, and levels of readiness.			D _X	
<u>ပု</u>	 Assessment: Ensures that all principals and administrators facilitate practices that propel personnel to use a variety of formal and informal methods and assessments to measure student learning, growth, and understanding and make necessary adjustments to their practice when students are not learning. Focus Indicator (check if yes) 			□ _X	
<u>.</u>	 Evaluation: Ensures effective and timely supervision and evaluation of all staff in alignment with state regulations and contract provisions. Focus Indicator (check if yes) 	0	ă		
뽀	 Data-Informed Decision Making: Uses multiple sources of evidence related to student learning—including state, district, and school assessment results and growth data—to inform school and district goals and improve organizational performance, educator effectiveness, and student learning. Focus Indicator (check if yes) 	0		D ×	
<u>r</u> .	 Student Learning: Demonstrates expected impact on student learning based on multiple measures of student learning, growth, and achievement, including student progress on common assessments and statewide student growth measures where available. Focus Indicator (check if yes) 	The Student Lea descriptions of learning based growth, and act determining	ming Indicato of practice. Ev I on multiple n nievement mu	The Student Learning Indicator does not have corresponding descriptions of practice. Evidence of impact on student learning based on multiple measures of student learning, growth, and achievement must be taken into account when determining a performance rating for this Standard.	orresponding on student nt learning, scount when tandard.
The Take	OVERALL Rating for Standard I: Instructional Leadership The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by cultivating a shared vision that makes powerful teaching and learning the central focus of schooling.			□x	

2020-21

Comments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of Exemplary, Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory):

performance of how education was delivered during COVID-19. He was commended for his design of an innovative Hybrid model for The Committee's average overall rating for Dr. Doherty in this category was Proficient. Dr. Doherty was applauded for his "on the fly" September 2020. However, it was not immediately implemented due to a staffing shortage. Some other themes are as follows:

- Dr. Doherty always kept his focus on student and staff safety during decision making.
- Evaluation needs more attention should to timely documentation and feedback.

Overall it was felt that with respect to the other categories in this section, there either is not data, or it is reasonable to rate poorly given the challenging year we have all been through.

Masseguissers Department of ELEMENTARY & SECONDARY EDUCATION

Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard II: Management & Operations

Ra	Rate each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to superintendent goal(s).)	n e	Z	۵	E
=	II-A. Environment: Develops and executes effective plans, procedures, routines, and operational systems to address a full range of safety, health, emotional, and social needs.Focus Indicator (check if yes)			D _X	0
Ξ	 II-B. Human Resources Management and Development: Implements a cohesive approach to recruiting, hiring, induction, development, and career growth that promotes high-quality and effective practice. Focus Indicator (check if yes) 		D X		
=	 II-C. Scheduling and Management Information Systems: Uses systems to ensure optimal use of data and time for teaching, learning, and collaboration, minimizing disruptions and distractions for school-level staff. Focus Indicator (check if yes) 			D _X	
≟	II-D. Law, Ethics, and Policies: Understands and complies with state and federal laws and mandates, school committee policies, collective bargaining agreements, and ethical guidelines.Focus Indicator (check if yes)			D _X	
=	 II-E. Fiscal Systems: Develops a budget that supports the district's vision, mission, and goals; allocates and manages expenditures consistent with district- and school-level goals and available resources. Focus Indicator (check if yes) 	0	0	□x	
δĘ∰	OVERALL Rating for Standard II: Management & Operations The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by ensuring a safe, efficient, and effective learning environment, using resources to implement appropriate curriculum, staffing, and scheduling.			□x	

Comments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of Exemplary, Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory):

The Committee's average overall rating of Dr. Doherty was Proficient in this category. Some themes are as follows:

- Dr. Doherty shines in his role as operational leader. He manages the process of allowing each school to operate efficiently and safely. This has been particularly visible in responding to the pandemic and creating appropriate environments that protect safety of staff and students.
- The timeliness in filling the Human Resources Director role, and the delay in identifying the need to approach the role as a more senior position which in hindsight may have eliminated reduced the staffing shortages the District encountered in September
- grant money where appropriate and ability to align and manage spend appropriately. However, we did face a problem with the One of the biggest strengths of the district is the Fiscal Management. The Committee is provided excellent forecasting, use of Extended Day budget that was quickly corrected, but unfortunately, that course correction had a negative impact on a few employees and a few families that were dependent on the Extended Day services

Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard III: Family and Community Engagement



superintendent goal(s).))	2	Δ.	ш
III-A. Engagement: Actively ensures that all families are welcome members of the classroom and school community and can contribute to the effectiveness of the classroom, school, district, and community.Focus Indicator (check if yes)		×		
 III-B. Sharing Responsibility: Continuously collaborates with families and community stakeholders to support student learning and development at home, school, and in the community. Focus Indicator (check if yes) 	0	0	□x	

Page 6 of 8

III.C. Communication: Ergagese in regular, two-way, culturally proficent communication with families and community stakeholders and performance. a bout student learning and performance. a bout student learning and performance. Broots indicator (check if yes) III.D. Family Concerns: Addresses family and community concerns in an equitable, effective, and efficient manner. Comments and concerns and other stakeholders that support the mission of the distinct and its schools. Comments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of Exemplary, Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory): The Committee's overall average rating for Dr. Doherty in this category was Proficient. However, this section is one in which we saw the most issues throughout the year. Some themes are as follows: Dr. Doherty shares responsibility with his teams very effectively to identify and meet the needs of their student populations. He modeled this focus on meeting the needs of all students with very thoughtful decisions regarding the return to school – in particular, prioritizing high needs students and the youngest students who wouldn't be able to access online learning effectively. A Family concern challenge that continued throughout the year was with High School parents and getting the High School students back in the building for more than two days every two weeks. The Parent Volunteer idea seemed like one that Dr. Doherty embraced but ultimately was not able to follow it through.	The second secon				
III-D. Family Concerns: Addresses family and community concerns in an equitable, effective, and efficient manner. Focus Indicator (check if yes) Covered and the success of all staff through effective partnerships with Covered and prowth of all students and the success of all staff through effective partnerships with Covered and provided provided and provided and provided provided and provided provided and provided pr	III-C. Communication: Engages in regular, two-way, culturally proficient communication with families and community stakeholders about student learning and performance. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)			X	
OVERALL Rating for Standard III: Family & Community Engagement The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff through effective partnerships with The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff through effective partnerships with Comments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of Exemplary, Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory): The Committee's overall average rating for Dr. Doherty in this category was Proficient. However, this section is one in which we saw the most issues throughout the year. Some themes are as follows: - Dr. Doherty shares responsibility with his teams very effectively to identify and meet the needs of their student populations. He modeled this focus on meeting the needs of all students with very thoughtful decisions regarding the return to school – in particular, prioritizing high needs students and the youngest students who wouldn't be able to access online learning effectively. - A Family concern challenge that continued throughout the year was with High School parents and getting the High School students back in the building for more than two days every two weeks. The Parent Volunteer idea seemed like one that Dr. Doherty embraced but ultimately was not able to follow it through.	III-D. Family Concerns: Addresses family and community concerns in an equitable, effective, and efficient manner. ☐ Focus Indicator (check if yes)			□x	
Comments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of Exemplary, Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory): The Committee's overall average rating for Dr. Doherty in this category was Proficient. However, this section is one in which we saw the most issues throughout the year. Some themes are as follows: - Dr. Doherty shares responsibility with his teams very effectively to identify and meet the needs of their student populations. He modeled this focus on meeting the needs of all students with very thoughtful decisions regarding the return to school — in particular, prioritizing high needs students and the youngest students who wouldn't be able to access online learning effectively. - A Family concern challenge that continued throughout the year was with High School parents and getting the High School students back in the building for more than two days every two weeks. The Parent Volunteer idea seemed like one that Dr. Doherty embraced but ultimately was not able to follow it through.	OVERALL Rating for Standard III: Family & Community Engagement The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff through effective partnerships with families, community organizations, and other stakeholders that support the mission of the district and its schools.	0		□x	
The Committee's overall average rating for Dr. Doherty in this category was Proficient. However, this section is one in which we saw the most issues throughout the year. Some themes are as follows: - Dr. Doherty shares responsibility with his teams very effectively to identify and meet the needs of their student populations. He modeled this focus on meeting the needs of all students with very thoughtful decisions regarding the return to school – in particular, prioritizing high needs students and the youngest students who wouldn't be able to access online learning effectively. - A Family concern challenge that continued throughout the year was with High School parents and getting the High School students back in the building for more than two days every two weeks. The Parent Volunteer idea seemed like one that Dr. Doherty embraced but ultimately was not able to follow it through.		atisfacto	<u>3</u>		
	The Committee's overall average rating for Dr. Doherty in this category was Proficient. However, this sect the most issues throughout the year. Some themes are as follows:	ion is o	ne in w	hich we	saw
		heir stur ie returr iss onlir	dent po n to sch ne learn	pulations ool – in ing effec	s. He trively.
		getting seemed	the Hig d like or	h Schoo ië that D	_ <u>-</u>

The inability or lack of willingness to engage parents at least in a discussion caused avoidable angst among the parent

And a more robust effort was made to provide clear and consistent communication to families and the community.

The use of frequent community sessions which were well received.

community. As a result, Dr. Doherty in part corrected this by:

1			I
1			ł
1			- 1
1			l
1			l
1			l
ĺ			ļ
			[
1			
			- 1
			- 1
			i
			İ
			1
			1
			1
			l
			İ
			1
			İ
			ļ
			1
			1
			į
			i
			j
			ł
			1
			1
			1
			1
			ļ
			ļ
			ļ
			1
			j
			i
			}
			l
			- 1
			1
			- 1
			I
			-
			1
			- 1
			- 1
			- 1
			1
			i
			1
		•	1
			.]
			i
			j
			1
			Ī
			1
			ſ
)
			1
			l
			1
			- 1
			i
			1
			ļ

Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard IV: Professional Culture

Rassamusets dependent of EEMENTARY & SECONDARY EDUCATION

Rate each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to superintendent goal(s).)	n	Z	a .	ш
IV-A. Commitment to High Standards: Fosters a shared commitment to high standards of service, teaching, and learning with high expectations for achievement for all.□ Focus Indicator (check if yes)			×□	0
 IV-B. Cultural Proficiency: Ensures that policies and practices enable staff members and students to interact effectively in a culturally diverse environment in which students' backgrounds, identities, strengths, and challenges are respected. Focus Indicator (check if yes) 			□x	
IV-C. Communication: Demonstrates strong interpersonal, written, and verbal communication skills. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)			Χ□	
 IV-D. Continuous Learning: Develops and nurtures a culture in which staff members are reflective about their practice and use student data, current research, best practices, and theory to continuously adapt practice and achieve improved results. Models these behaviors in his or her own practice. Focus Indicator (check if yes) 			ΧΠ	
IV-E. Shared Vision: Successfully and continuously engages all stakeholders in the creation of a shared educational vision in which every student is prepared to succeed in postsecondary education and become a responsible citizen and global contributor.			×	

Page 8 of 8

End-of-Cycle Summative Evaluation Report: Superintendent

SUPERINTENDENT EVALUATION READING PUBLIC SCHOOLS

Summative Form

2020-2021 School Year



Standard IV: Professional Culture	Standard III: Family and Community Engagement	Standard II: Management and Operations	Standard I: Instructional Leadership	Unsatisfactory = Performance on a standard or overall has not significantly improved following a rating of Needs Improvement, or performance is consistently below the requirements of a standard or overall and is considered inadequate, or both. Needs Improvement/Developing = Performance on a standard or overall is below the requirements of a standard or overall but is not considered to be Unsatisfactory at the time. Improvement is necessary and expected. Proficient = Proficient practice is understood to be fully satisfactory. This is the rigorous expected level of performance. Exemplary = A rating of Exemplary indicates that practice significantly exceeds Proficient and could serve as a model of practice regionally or statewide.	Step 2: Assess Performance on Standards (<i>Reference Performance Ratings pe</i>	District Improvement Goal(s)	Student Learning Goal(s)	Professional Practice Goal(s)	Step 1: Assess Progress Toward Goals (Reference performance goals; check o		Evaluator:	Superintendent:	
ture	munity Engagement	Operations	ership	overall has not significantly improved followand is considered inadequate, or both. See on a standard or overall is below the requisary and expected. to be fully satisfactory. This is the rigoral practice significantly exceeds Proficient and practice significantly exceeds Proficient and practice significantly exceeds Proficient and practice significantly exceeds Proficient and practice significantly exceeds Proficient and practice significantly exceeds Proficient and practice significantly exceeds Proficient and practice significantly exceeds Proficient and practice significantly exceeds Proficient and practice significantly exceeds Proficient and practice significantly exceeds Proficient and practice significantly exceeds Proficient and Proficient	n Standards (<i>Reference P</i>	☐ Did Not Meet	☐ Did Not Meet	☐ Did Not Meet	ard Goals (Reference perfo	Name	Shawn Brandt	John Doherty	
				wing a rating of Needs Improven uirements of a standard or overa ous expected level of perform and could serve as a model of province of the could serve as a model of province or the could serve as a model of the could serve as a mode	erformance Ratings	X Some Progress	X Some Progress	Some Progress	ormance goals; che				
				nent, or performance is consistently II but is not considered to be ance. ance.	per Standard; check one box for each Standard.)	☐ Significant Progress	Significant Progress	X Significant Progress	ck one for each set of goal[s].)	Signature			
0		0		Unsatisfactory	ne box i	□Met	□Met	☐ Met	oal[s].)				
				Needs Improvement	or each	et 	et	et		Date	3/22/2021		
×	×	×	×	Proficient	า Stand	☐ Exceeded	☐ Exceeded	☐ Exceeded		te	2021		
			0	Exemplary	lard.)	eded	eded	eded					



Unsatisfactory	Step 3: Rate Overall Summativ
Needs Improvement	Step 3: Rate Overall Summative Performance (Based on Step 1 and Step 2 rating
x Proficient	and Step 2 ratings; check one.)
Exemplary	

Step 4: Add Evaluator Comments

Comments and analysis are recommended for any rating but are required for an overall summative rating of Exemplary, Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory.

Comments

threading the needle between keeping everybody safe and getting kids in school as much as possible. Teachers and administrators dimensions, albeit one with some missed opportunities that inform the ratings. The school district generally did a good job of do so, and instead evaluated Dr. Doherty's performance against the scenario that presented itself. It has been a strong year on many Writing an evaluation under these circumstances is difficult, as you don't know whether or not to grade on a curve. I've opted not to our original plans weren't able to come to fruition due to staffing, we might have been able to change course more quickly 5th graders and high school students in as quickly as we should have. It is hard to ignore that had we called the moment earlier when manner for remote learning. However, some foreseeable staffing challenges caught us flat-footed and we lost critical time getting 3rd did a remarkably good job of maximizing the value of in-person time and delivering quality instruction in an effective, engaging

sudden shutdown of that important program, and the sudden layoffs of multiple staff members. Ultimately "the buck stops" with the Superintendent, and this was a really unfortunate turn for a program that was one of the greatest successes in our district in recent any core services. However, loose controls on revolving accounts resulted in a significant gap in the Extended Day account, a very Dr. Doherty deserves a great deal of praise for managing the budget so effectively, in that the pandemic had virtually no impact on

problem solving looks like, and I worry that those issues will continue to simmer below the surface to work with his partners to make the noise go away relatively quickly, we missed a chance to really model what collaborative Finally, a major source of conflict emerged in January that essentially pitted teachers vs. police officers. While Dr. Doherty was able

Superintendent's Performance Goals



		0	×	. 🗆	Based on quantitative and qualitative data analysis, the school district will review our equity and social justice practices, analyze student social emotional growth, and refine systems of support to ensure a healthy and successful learning community while meeting the unique needs of students.	District IIA mprovement
			×		Based on qualitative and quantitative data analysis, the school district will evaluate and refine standards-based instructional systems to meet the needs of all learners. Please note that state standardized data will look different and may be less available in a post pandemic world.	District 1A, 1B, mprovement 1C, 1F 3oal B
			×		Based on our work since March, 2020, the school district will build a foundation of teaching and learning practices, infrastructure changes, assessment practices, and operations efficiencies to prepare schools for current conditions and future challenges in a post-pandemic world. This strategic objective may be extended based on the trajectory of the pandemic.	District 1E, IIA, mprovement IIIC, IVF
0	×			. 🛮	During the 2020-2021 School Year, I will create and implement an exit plan to support the transition of the new Superintendent of the Reading Public Schools. The success of this plan will be measured by the timely completion of all action items in this year's District Improvement plan and Superintendent's goals, other annual tasks, and give the incoming Superintendent as much information as possible for a successful transition on July 1, 2021.	rofessional ractice Goal 4
	×		0		District Vision of the Graduate (Aligned with Strategic Objective A)-Over the next school year, I will work with Reading educators, parents, local officials and community members to learn about and develop a vision of what skills, knowledge, and dispositions Reading students should attain and develop during their preK-12 years in our schools. Through these conversations, we will develop and receive approval of a district vision of the graduate which will be used as a vision statement for the Reading Public Schools and for the NEASC Accreditation Process.	rofessional IVE ractice Goal 3
		×		. 🗆	School Physical and Psychological Safety (Aligned with Strategic Objective C)-During the 2020-2021 school year, we will continue to build and implement an infrastructure and a set of policies and procedures that will support the physical and psychological safety of our staff and students. The evidence to support a successful completion of this goal will be a positive overall response in the 2020-2021 staff survey of PRIDE in school leadership, shared decision-making, and school climate as evidenced by the PRIDE staff survey and a positive overall response in student learning environment scores (school life) as evidenced by the PRIDE student survey, VOCAL data (school climate section) and YRBS data (mental health section).	IIA, IIE Professional Practice Goal 2
			×	Ο.	During the 2020-21 school year, the Reading Public Schools will begin a three-year plan to develop and implement a talent diversification and leadership development strategy. The success of this plan will be evidenced by an increase in pipeline development, recruitment, and retention of staff of color. Through the implementation of this plan, we will see an increase in staff of color by 100% (6 to 12) by the end of the 2022-23 school year and an increase in Reading staff who have been promoted from a teaching position to a leadership position (i.e. curriculum coordinator, department chair, assistant principal, team chair, principal, central office administrator). Currently, we have 10 former Reading teachers who are in leadership positions.	rofessional ractice Goal 1
			×		During the 2020-2023 School Years, we will improve our literacy evaluation process, enhance our reading instructional strategies, and structure our progress monitoring more effectively for students who are struggling readers. Based on our work, we will see progress as evidenced by reviewing previous case studies of students who struggled with Tier 2 interventions and comparing the following: an increase in identifying struggling readers earlier, students demonstrating growth on their IEP literacy goals, and fewer out of district placements with specific learning disabilities.	1A, 1B, 1C, 1E Student _earning Goal
Exceeded	Met	Significant Progress	Some Progress	Did Not Meet	Superintendents must identify at least one student learning goal, one professional practice goal, and two to four district improvement goals. Goals should be SMART and aligned to at least one focus Indicator from the Standards for Effective Administrative Leadership. Coals Focus Coals perintendents must identify aligned to at least one focus Goals Indicator	

	Standards and Indicators for E Superintendents should identify 1-2 focus	Standards and Indicators for Effective Administrative Leadership Superintendents should identify 1-2 focus Indicators per Standard aligned to their goals.	
l. Instructional Leadership	II. Management & Operations	III. Family & Community Engagement	IV. Professional Culture
. Curriculum	II-A. Environment	III-A. Engagement	IV-A. Commitment to High Standards
Instruction	II-B. HR Management and Development	III-B. Sharing Responsibility	IV-B. Cultural Proficiency
Assessment	II-C. Scheduling & Management Information	III-C. Communication	IV-C. Communication
. Evaluation	Systems	III-D. Family Concerns	IV-D. Continuous Learning
Data-Informed Decision making	II-D. Law, Ethics and Policies		IV-E. Shared Vision
Student Learning	II-E. Fiscal Systems		IV-F. Managing Conflict
The second secon			

uperintendent's Performance Rating for Standard I: Instructional Leadership



OVE The mak	<u>.</u> 5	ļ.	Ë	<u>-</u> .	<u>-</u> B	Ā	Rate supe
OVERALL Rating for Standard I: Instructional Leadership The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by cultivating a shared vision that makes powerful teaching and learning the central focus of schooling.	Student Learning: Demonstrates expected impact on student learning based on multiple measures of student learning, growth, and achievement, including student progress on common assessments and statewide student growth measures where available. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)	 Data-Informed Decision Making: Uses multiple sources of evidence related to student learning—including state, district, and school assessment results and growth data—to inform school and district goals and improve organizational performance, educator effectiveness, and student learning. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes) 	Evaluation: Ensures effective and timely supervision and evaluation of all staff in alignment with state regulations and contract provisions. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)	Assessment: Ensures that all principals and administrators facilitate practices that propel personnel to use a variety of formal and informal methods and assessments to measure student learning, growth, and understanding and make necessary adjustments to their practice when students are not learning. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)	Instruction: Ensures that practices in all settings reflect high expectations regarding content and quality of effort and work, engage all students, and are personalized to accommodate diverse learning styles, needs, interests, and levels of readiness. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)	Curriculum: Ensures that all instructional staff design effective and rigorous standards-based units of instruction consisting of well-structured lessons with measurable outcomes. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)	Rate each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to superintendent goal(s).)
	The Student L. description: learning bas, growth, and a determinir						n
	earning Indicate s of practice. Ev s of practice is of practice in multiple n ed on multiple n ichievement mung a performance		×				NI
×	The Student Learning Indicator does not have corresponding descriptions of practice. Evidence of impact on student learning based on multiple measures of student learning, growth, and achievement must be taken into account when determining a performance railing for this Standard.	X		×		Ō	P
	corresponding to n student tearning, lent learning, account when Standard.				×	×	E

step that minimized the impact of the pandemic on our most vulnerable students. Comments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of Exemplary, Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory): Dr. Doherty and the Prioritizing high needs students and our youngest students for in-person learning throughout the various stages of our return to school was a critical and appropriate universally laud the efforts of their students' teachers and are pleased with the ability of the district to do as much as possible with remote and hybrid learning models. Teaching & Learning team did a remarkable job building a new model of learning on the fly. While not every student has thrived during the pandemic, parents nearly

and clarity about anticipated timelines would be preferred. would benefit from model goals at various levels in support of district goals. Additionally, proactive communication with district administrators about contract status, administrators, principals and teachers report not having clarity on how district goals around equity and diversity filter down to their levels. Going forward, the district An area of opportunity exists in the linkage between Superintendent and District goals with those at other levels of the district. In particular, some district

Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard II: Management & Operations



Rate supe	Rate each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to superintendent goal(s).)	U	NI	ס	m
¥-	Environment: Develops and executes effective plans, procedures, routines, and operational systems to address a full range of safety, health, emotional, and social needs. Focus Indicator (check if yes)				×
Ⅱ-B .	Human Resources Management and Development: Implements a cohesive approach to recruiting, hiring, induction, development, and career growth that promotes high-quality and effective practice. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)		×	.	
II-C.	Scheduling and Management Information Systems: Uses systems to ensure optimal use of data and time for teaching, learning, and collaboration, minimizing disruptions and distractions for school-level staff. Focus Indicator (check if yes)			×	
II-D.	Law, Ethics, and Policies: Understands and complies with state and federal laws and mandates, school committee policies, collective bargaining agreements, and ethical guidelines. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)	0	а	×	
II-E.	Fiscal Systems: Develops a budget that supports the district's vision, mission, and goals; allocates and manages expenditures consistent with district- and school-level goals and available resources. ☐ Focus Indicator (check if yes)			×	
OVE The effec	OVERALL Rating for Standard II: Management & Operations The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by ensuring a safe, efficient, and effective learning environment, using resources to implement appropriate curriculum, staffing, and scheduling.			×	

Comments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of Exemplary, Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory):

appropriate environments that protect safety of staff and students. guidance and boundaries in order to allow each school to operate efficiently and safely. This has been particularly visible in responding to the pandemic and creating Dr. Doherty continues to spend a great deal of focus and energy on his role as an operational leader. He empowers building principals and provides appropriate

majority of staff who might have otherwise taken a leave of some kind. By personally investing in these discussions, the district was able to provide accommodations the ability to retain as many staff as possible, and on finding adequate staff to fill any gaps. On the first count, Dr. Doherty played a key role in retaining the vast Unfortunately, two of the biggest challenges this year have fallen under the umbrella of Management & Operations. First, we developed a model highly dependent on decision to increase the level and compensation attached to that role was a tacit acknowledgement that we miscalculated. problem. It's hard to quantify whether having hired an HR leader earlier in the year would have made a tangible difference on filling those roles, but the ultimate the return to school for 3-5 grades and at the high school. While many districts struggled with staffing shortages, we designed a hybrid model that exacerbated the for many of the staff who were able to continue working with some minor modifications. Unfortunately, we struggled to fill gaps for paraeducators and tutors, delaying

students only in school half the time, parental concerns about covid exposure, etc. biggest successes in our schools in recent years. Community members, Finance Committee members and School Committee members have been requesting more effectively, appropriately, and tracked meticulously through the work of Dr. Doherty and CFO Dowd. In contrast, a second significant challenge this year was the failure From a budget perspective, this year has been a mixed bag. It's exceptional that we have been able to maintain our services with little disruption. Grants were used frequent reporting on revolving accounts and tighter tracking of their status for years. It was foreseeable that Extended Day could be impacted severely with many to identify an issue with respect to the Extended Day revolving account and take appropriate action sooner. This oversight dealt a devastating blow to one of the

Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard III: Family and Community Engagement



Rate each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to superintendent goal(s).)	U	NI P	m
III-A. Engagement: Actively ensures that all families are welcome members of the classroom and school community and can contribute to the effectiveness of the classroom, school, district, and community. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)		×	
III-B. Sharing Responsibility: Continuously collaborates with families and community stakeholders to support student learning and development at home, school, and in the community.			×

development at home, school, a

Focus Indicator (check if yes)

III-C. Communication: Engages in regular, two-way, culturally proficient communication with families and community stakeholders about student learning and performance. ☐ Focus Indicator (check if yes)			×	
III-D. Family Concerns: Addresses family and community concerns in an equitable, effective, and efficient manner. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)			×	
OVERALL Rating for Standard III: Family & Community Engagement The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff through effective partnerships with families, community organizations, and other stakeholders that support the mission of the district and its schools.			×	
Comments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of Exemplary, Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory):	satisfacto	<i>7</i>):		
Unfortunately, one focus area which seems to have gone by the wayside during this year has been the involvement of families in the classroom. Recognizing the limitations on physical participation, there doesn't appear to have been a strong district-wide focus on finding creative ways to engage and utilize the commitment of a same and families to support learning and other classroom activities.	he classr gage and	oom. Recoutilize the	ognizing th ∍ commitm	re ent o
III-B is a strong suit for Dr. Doherty, who empowers his teams very effectively to identify and meet the needs of their student populations. He modeled this focus on meeting the needs of all students with very thoughtful decisions regarding the return to school – in particular, prioritizing high needs students and the youngest students who wouldn't be able to access online learning effectively.	llations. F eds stude	le modeled	d this focu 1e younges	s on
l was pleased with the frequent community sessions Dr. Doherty held throughout the year on a variety of topics. These were a good way to proactively address common concerns, and to reach those parents who might not reach out otherwise.	od way to	proactive	ly address	

Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard IV: Professional Culture



Rate each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to superintendent goal(s).)	C	2	ד	т
IV-A. Commitment to High Standards: Fosters a shared commitment to high standards of service, teaching, and learning with high expectations for achievement for all.☐ Focus Indicator (check if yes)			×	
 IV-B. Cultural Proficiency: Ensures that policies and practices enable staff members and students to interact effectively in a culturally diverse environment in which students' backgrounds, identities, strengths, and challenges are respected. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes) 		×	.	
IV-C. Communication: Demonstrates strong interpersonal, written, and verbal communication skills. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)			X	
IV-D. Continuous Learning: Develops and nurtures a culture in which staff members are reflective about their practice and use student data, current research, best practices, and theory to continuously adapt practice and achieve improved results. Models these behaviors in his or her own practice. ☐ Focus Indicator (check if yes)			X	
IV-E. Shared Vision: Successfully and continuously engages all stakeholders in the creation of a shared educational vision in which every student is prepared to succeed in postsecondary education and become a responsible citizen and global contributor. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)			:	×
 IV-F. Managing Conflict: Employs strategies for responding to disagreement and dissent, constructively resolving conflict and building consensus throughout a district or school community. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes) 		×	□	
OVERALL Rating for Standard IV: Professional Culture The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by nurturing and sustaining a districtwide culture of reflective practice, high expectations, and continuous learning for staff.			×	
Comments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of Exemplary, Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory): Regarding cultural proficiency, while our district has made strides in terms of supporting diverse students across many cultural and demographic dimensions, the application of policies intended to ensure there's space for many different belief systems seems to be somewhat inconsistent. It is critical that as we appropriately focus our efforts on an expansive view of human rights, we include in that view the rights of people with minority beliefs. Our teachers are skilled and well-meaning, but if there are lapses, it's critical that they be identified and addressed to create a culture that truly welcomes a diversity of opinions.	nsatisfact and demo is critical ichers are ions.	ory): ographic di that as we	imensions ∍ appropria id well-mea	, the ately aning,
The district as a whole has done a remarkable job with outbound communication this year. Communication was frequent, focused and timely. Dr. Doherty struck an excellent balance between common messages shared from the center and preserving space for building principals to tweak and deliver their own updates on the volving pandemic, in addition to typical updates. Communication has been very transparent. Going forward, an opportunity for the district to approach ongoing communication situations like the pandemic would be to consider a website that could serve as a "living document" so that parents and stakeholders aren't overwhelmed by the volume of emails.	d and tim deliver th the distric nts and s	lely. Dr. Do leir own up st to approtakeholder	herty stru odates on t ach ongoi rs aren't	ck an the
One gap this year has been in the deployment of surveys. While I applaud the effort to solicit feedback and preferences from the community, the execution of most of our surveys was flawed. Survey construction was often confusing; parents or other respondents were unclear about the intent of the survey, or the survey lacked the context through which questions should be answered. Survey deadlines were communicated inconsistently. We sent surveys with multiple options without much	communi f the surv th multipl	ity, the exe ey, or the s	ecution of I survey lac without mi	most of ked the uch

this important community engagement tool. is a very particular skill, and going forward the district administration might benefit from investing in one or more district staff doing some formal training to support their input wasn't really leveraged, or worse, that they were misled into making choices they might not have with more context. Writing and deploying effective surveys apparent forethought given to how the results would influence (or not) decisions made. On balance, I think surveys generally left stakeholders feeling frustrated that

acknowledgement of some of the core facts of the situation, recognition of the authenticity of the feelings on both sides of the debate, and proactively and openly teach students the skills to function in a diverse society, and how to respect the opinions of others, we should model that behavior publicly when the schools are party communicating next steps would have better modeled for the community how we can work together even when there's deep disagreement. If we want the schools to conversation about a genuine and deeply felt source of conflict. I appreciate that there are discussions ongoing, but in the meantime, we missed an opportunity. Public strong relationships with town officials that allowed him to quickly "put out the fire," the situation provided a unique opportunity to have a real community Finally, regarding IV-F, the reaction to the events of January 6th were an important case study for how we manage conflict. While I appreciate that Dr. Doherty has

SUPERINTENDENT EVALUATION READING PUBLIC SCHOOLS

Summative Form

2020-2021 School Year

Erin Gaffen Erin Gaffen Erin.Gaffen @reading.k12.ma.us



Standard III: Family and Community Engagement	Standard II: Management and Operations	Standard I: Instructional Leadership	Unsatisfactory = Performance on a standard or overall has not significantly improved following a rating of Needs Improvement, or performance is consistently below the requirements of a standard or overall and is considered inadequate, or both. Needs Improvement/Developing = Performance on a standard or overall is below the requirements of a standard or overall but is not considered to be Unsatisfactory at the time. Improvement is necessary and expected. Proficient = Proficient practice is understood to be fully satisfactory. This is the rigorous expected level of performance. Exemplary = A rating of Exemplary indicates that practice significantly exceeds Proficient and could serve as a model of practice regionally or statewide.	District Improvement Goal(s) □ Did Not Meet □ Some Progress □ Significant Progress □ Met □ Exceeded Step 2: Assess Performance on Standards (Reference Performance Ratings per Standard; check one box for each Standard.)	Student Learning Goal(s)	Professional Practice Goal(s)	Step 1: Assess Progress Toward Goals (Reference performance goals; check one		Evaluator:	Superintendent: Dr.
satisfactory. This is the rigorignificantly exceeds Proficient to	satisfactory. This is the rigorignificantly exceeds Proficient	satisfactory. This is the rigorignificantly exceeds Proficient	not significantly improved follo dered inadequate, or both. dard or overall is below the requected.	☐ ☐ Did Not Meet dards (Reference F	☐ Did Not Meet	☐ Did Not Meet	ıls (Reference perf	Name	Erin Gaffen	Dr. John Doherty
erformance Ratings wing a rating of Needs Improver uirements of a standard or overance expected level of performand could serve as a model of proversity of the serve as a model of the se	erformance Ratings wing a rating of Needs Improver uirements of a standard or overa rous expected level of perforn and could serve as a model of p	'erformance Ratings' wing a rating of Needs Improver uirements of a standard or over- rous expected level of perforn and could serve as a model of p	erformance Rating:	⊠ Some Progress	⊠ Some Progress	Some Progress	າrmance goals; che			
per Standard; check onent, or performance is consistently but is not considered to be ance.	s per Standard; check onent, or performance is consistently all but is not considered to be ance.	s per Standard; check onent, or performance is consistently but is not considered to be ance. ance. actice regionally or statewide.	per Standard; check o	☐ Significant Progress	☐ Significant Progress	⊠ Significant Progress	ck one for each set of goal[s].)	Signature		
□ □ Unsatisfactory	□ Unsatisfactory	Unsatisfactory ne box t	ne box f	☐ Met	☐ Met	☐ Met	oal[s].)			
□ ⊠ Needs eac Improvement	Needs eac lmprovement	Needs eac	for eac	et	е	et		D _o	3/14/2021	
□ Proficient stand	□ Proficient stand	Proficient stand	h Stanc	Exceeded	Exceeded	☐ Exceeded		Date	2021	
□ □ Exemplary dard.	□ Exemplary dard.	Exemplary 3ard.	dard.)	eded	eded	eded				



Unsatisfactory	Step 3: Rate Overall Summative
Needs Improvement	Step 3: Rate Overall Summative Performance (Based on Step 1 and Step 2 ratings;
⊠ Proficient	nd Step 2 ratings; check one.)
Exemplary	

Step 4: Add Evaluator Comments

Comments and analysis are recommended for any rating but are required for an overall summative rating of Exemplary, Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory.

Comments:

safely, as well as the emotional needs of students and staff. Needless to say, those are nearly impossible to completely balance. This all students. These efforts have required problem-solving, collaboration, communication, and decision-making based on research and been constant, the risks have been real and scary, and virtually nothing is as it used to be. This was a massive undertaking, but Dr. how school looks and how curriculum is taught. The demands have been copious, the changes have been endless, the stress has This has been a school year like no other. Dr. Doherty has guided the district as together they completely reimagined and reworked commitment to the students and staff of RPS has taken its toll on everyone in education (and beyond), but Dr. Doherty and district leadership have been unwavering in their careful consideration. He has sought to balance the educational needs of the district, the logistical challenges of implementing school Doherty has maintained a focus on keeping students and staff as healthy as possible and ensuring genuine learning is happening for

two-thirds of the school year. students were negatively impacted academically, emotionally, and socially by having so little in-person school time during the first of the students in the district do. This led to frustration among some parents and students. I also believe many of our high school graders began their hybrid much later than our K-2nd and 6-8th graders. The high school students never experienced the hybrid model as planned due to major staffing shortages, and only just recently were able to attend 4 days in-person every other week as the rest not able to be implemented at all levels due to staffing shortages for the support staff needed for satellite classrooms, and our 3rd-5th innovative hybrid model was not able to be implemented at the start of the school year due to several logistical obstacles. Then it was This year has also clearly been frustrating at times, and not everything has gone according to plan. Unfortunately, Dr. Doherty's

without a Human Resources Director and Dr. Doherty carried this role and all of its duties along with all of his own duties as ceaselessly to develop feasible schedules, I believe some of this could have gone differently. For the entirety of the fall, we were While I understand the high degree of difficulty planning for this academic year presented, and I commend our Principals for working Superintendent. Dr. Doherty met individually with every staff and teacher who had questions and concerns about returning to school,

staff, due to the unique circumstances of this school year. However, we still had many support staff take leaves due to the pandemic, different model for RMHS. By the time the number of openings became clear, we were somewhat backed into a corner, and I regret solidified earlier, it would have been obvious that RPS either needed to aggressively attempt to fill the positions and/or pivot to a committees last summer. I repeatedly asked about staffing numbers at RMHS during School Committee meetings and in yet our model required additional staff at the high school. Every town across the state struggled to fill support staff positions, but once which I think led to fewer teachers taking a leave of absence because Dr. Doherty made the wise choice to individualize the approach that we did not make a change sooner conversation with Dr. Doherty, and for too long was told he did not know how many openings were needed. Had these numbers been for the hybrid model. In hindsight, I wish that caregivers and more teachers had been included on back-to-school planning this message became loud and clear, several Reading parents stepped up to apply and this helped get our 3rd-5th graders into school to each situation. I also think he would have played a significant role in this process regardless of whether we had an HR Director on

and experience as well as data supplied by the Superintendent, most of which had either some progress or substantial progress. academic year (June). Therefore, understandably, none of the goals have been met. I rated the goals based on direct observations School Committee voted this year to evaluate the Superintendent in line with the local elections (April) versus according to the I wish to note that the current District Improvement Plan is for 2020-2023, with most of the goals being three-year ones. Also, the

Superintendent's Performance Goals



District Improvement Goal C	District Improvement Goal B	District Improvement Goal A	Professional Practice Goal 4	Professional Practice Goal 3	Professional Practice Goal 2	Professional Practice Goal 1	Student Learning Goal	Superintendents m and aligned to at le Goals
IIA	1A, 1B, 1C, 1F	1E, IIA, IIIC, IVF	IIB, IVC	IIIA, IIIC,	IIA, IIE	IIB	1A, 1B, 1C, 1E	ust identify at ast one focus Focus Indicator
Based on quantitative and qualitative data analysis, the school district will review our equity and social justice practices, analyze student social emotional growth, and refine systems of support to ensure a healthy and successful learning community while meeting the unique needs of students.	Based on qualitative and quantitative data analysis, the school district will evaluate and refine standards-based instructional systems to meet the needs of all learners. Please note that state standardized data will look different and may be less available in a post pandemic world.	Based on our work since March 2020, the school district will build a foundation of teaching and learning practices, infrastructure changes, assessment practices, and operations efficiencies to prepare schools for current conditions and future challenges in a post-pandemic world. This strategic objective may be extended based on the trajectory of the pandemic.	During the 2020-2021 School Year, I will create and implement an exit plan to support the transition of the new Superintendent of the Reading Public Schools. The success of this plan will be measured by the timely completion of all action items in this year's District Improvement plan and Superintendent's goals, other annual tasks, and give the incoming Superintendent as much information as possible for a successful transition on July 1, 2021.	District Vision of the Graduate (Aligned with Strategic Objective A)-Over the next school year, I will work with Reading educators, parents, local officials and community members to learn about and develop a vision of what skills, knowledge, and dispositions Reading students should attain and develop during their preK-12 years in our schools. Through these conversations, we will develop and receive approval of a district vision of the graduate which will be used as a vision statement for the Reading Public Schools and for the NEASC Accreditation Process.	School Physical and Psychological Safety (Aligned with Strategic Objective C)-During the 2020-2021 school year, we will continue to build and implement an infrastructure and a set of policies and procedures that will support the physical and psychological safety of our staff and students. The evidence to support a successful completion of this goal will be a positive overall response in the 2020-2021 staff survey of PRIDE in school leadership, shared decision-making, and school climate as evidenced by the PRIDE staff survey and a positive overall response in student learning environment scores (school life) as evidenced by the PRIDE student survey, VOCAL data (school climate section) and YRBS data (mental health section).	During the 2020-21 school year, the Reading Public Schools will begin a three-year plan to develop and implement a talent diversification and leadership development strategy. The success of this plan will be evidenced by an increase in pipeline development, recruitment, and retention of staff of color. Through the implementation of this plan, we will see an increase in staff of color by 100% (6 to 12) by the end of the 2022-23 school year and an increase in Reading staff who have been promoted from a teaching position to a leadership position (i.e. curriculum coordinator, department chair, assistant principal, team chair, principal, central office administrator). Currently, we have 10 former Reading teachers who are in leadership positions.	During the 2020-2023 School Years, we will improve our literacy evaluation process, enhance our reading instructional strategies, and structure our progress monitoring more effectively for students who are struggling readers. Based on our work, we will see progress as evidenced by reviewing previous case studies of students who struggled with Tier 2 interventions and comparing the following: an increase in identifying struggling readers earlier, students demonstrating growth on their IEP literacy goals, and fewer out of district placements with specific learning disabilities.	Superintendents must identify at least one student learning goal, one professional practice goal, and two to four district improvement goals. Goals should be SMART and aligned to at least one focus Indicator from the Standards for Effective Administrative Leadership. Goals Indicator Description
				<u> </u>				Did Not Meet
⊠	⊠				О	⊠	Ø	Some Progress
		⊠	Ø	⊠	⊠			Significant Progress
								Met
	0							Exceeded

	Standards and Indicators for Ef Superintendents should identify 1-2 focus I	Standards and Indicators for Effective Administrative Leadership Superintendents should identify 1-2 focus Indicators per Standard aligned to their goals.	
l. Instructional Leadership	II. Management & Operations	III. Family & Community Engagement	IV. Professional Culture
I-A. Curriculum	II-A. Environment	III-A. Engagement	IV-A. Commitment to High Standards
I-B. Instruction	II-B. HR Management and Development	III-B. Sharing Responsibility	IV-B. Cultural Proficiency
I-C. Assessment	II-C. Scheduling & Management Information	III-C. Communication	IV-C. Communication
I-D. Evaluation	Systems	III-D. Family Concerns	IV-D. Continuous Learning
I-E. Data-Informed Decision making	II-D. Law, Ethics and Policies		IV-E. Shared Vision
I-F. Student Learning	II-E. Hiscal Systems		IV-F. Managing Conflict

Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard I: Instructional Leadership

_	6	1
	7	
四篇	2	Ą
$\square \times$	assacause!	
Č٥	ğ	
≍ະ	ŝ	
$C_{\tilde{z}}$	3	
	3	
-	Ä	
9	Harabit	
ラミ	2	
ج: بحـ	2,	

Rate supe	Rate each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to superintendent goal(s).) I-A. Curriculum: Ensures that all instructional staff design effective and rigorous standards-based units of instruction consisting of well-structured lessons with measurable outcomes. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes) I-B. Instruction: Ensures that practices in all settings reflect high expectations regarding content and quality of effort and work, engage all students, and are personalized to accommodate diverse learning styles, needs, interests, and levels of readiness. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes) I-C. Assessment: Ensures that all principals and administrators facilitate practices that propel personnel to use a variety of formal and informal methods and assessments to measure student learning, growth, and understanding and make necessary adjustments to their practice when students are not learning.	-		
<u>۲</u>	Assessment: Ensures that all principals and administrators facilitate practices that propel personnel to use a variety of formal and informal methods and assessments to measure student learning, growth, and understanding and make necessary adjustments to their practice when students are not learning. Focus Indicator (check if yes)		. 🛛	
-	Evaluation: Ensures effective and timely supervision and evaluation of all staff in alignment with state regulations and contract provisions. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)		☒	
Ÿ	Data-Informed Decision Making: Uses multiple sources of evidence related to student learning—including state, district, and school assessment results and growth data—to inform school and district goals and improve organizational performance, educator effectiveness, and student learning. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)			×
Ę,	Student Learning: Demonstrates expected impact on student learning based on multiple measures of student learning, growth, and achievement, including student progress on common assessments and statewide student growth measures where available. Focus Indicator (check if yes)	he Student Lea descriptions o learning based growth, and ach determining	The Student Learning Indicator does not have corresponding descriptions of practice. Evidence of impact on student learning based on multiple measures of student learning, growth, and achievement must be taken into account when determining a performance rating for this Standard.	does not havence of impasseries of stress of stress of stress of stress of stress of the taken into the rating for the
OVER The ed	OVERALL Rating for Standard I: Instructional Leadership The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by cultivating a shared vision that makes powerful teaching and learning the central focus of schooling.		\	

Comments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of Exemplary, Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory):

in-person, and the Student Services Department helped ensure that the needs of all learners as well as related services would be met that Reading students would receive the essential elements of grade-level curriculum through synchronous learning, both remote and scheduled to teach directly to their students every day during remote weeks. The Learning and Teaching Department helped ensure Dr. Doherty designed an innovative hybrid learning plan that emphasized student and teacher safety, and synchronous learning time for students. The model was superior to any other I saw or heard of in Massachusetts, particularly due to the fact that teachers were

somewhat harder to effectively supervise and evaluate staff. effectively assess and measure student learning and mental health. Remote instruction and staffing shortages have also made it social and emotional health. Further, remote instruction by nature makes it harder for teachers to get to know their students and to as substantial time in person in order to best focus, engage, demonstrate learning, connect with teachers and peers, and maintain their However, there are many challenges inherent in remote school. While a subset of students thrived being fully remote, most require some grades, it was not able to happen at all. Thankfully, RPS had prepared teachers to execute a robust remote curriculum Unfortunately, this model was not ready to be implemented in September, due to staffing shortages and other logistical issues, and for

and social cost to having so little in-person time in school for the high school students to recognize that the RPS remote model represents significant strength of learning, but I think there was also an academic, emotional huge disservice to our high school students by not reworking the hybrid model much sooner than eventually happened. It is important model for all students, and this should have been recognized sooner. It took too long to get our 3rd-5th graders in person, and we did a For all the many strengths of our hybrid and remote models, we did not have the staff that we needed in order to actually run the hybrid

administrators and nurses have implemented this year in order to keep our students and staff safe 5, and middle and high school students on April 26. I also remain thankful for the many mitigation strategies that Dr. Doherty, our I am very grateful for the current efforts underway to bring the majority of elementary students back for full in-person learning on April

Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard II: Management & Operations



SL

II-A. Environment: Develops and executes effective plans, procedures, routines, and operational systems to address a full range of □□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□	Rate each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to superintendent goal(s).)	U	Ni P	m
	ctive plans, procedures, routines, and operational systems to address			

	□ Focus Indicator (check if yes)				
- B	 II-B. Human Resources Management and Development: Implements a cohesive approach to recruiting, hiring, induction, development, and career growth that promotes high-quality and effective practice. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes) 		⊠	©	
	Scheduling and Management Information Systems: Uses systems to ensure optimal use of data and time for teaching, learning, and collaboration, minimizing disruptions and distractions for school-level staff. Focus Indicator (check if yes)				⊠
₽	Law, Ethics, and Policies: Understands and complies with state and federal laws and mandates, school committee policies, collective bargaining agreements, and ethical guidelines. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)			Ø	
i i	II-E. Fiscal Systems: Develops a budget that supports the district's vision, mission, and goals; allocates and manages expenditures consistent with district- and school-level goals and available resources. ☐ Focus Indicator (check if yes)			⊠	
OVI The	OVERALL Rating for Standard II: Management & Operations The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by ensuring a safe, efficient, and effective learning environment, using resources to implement appropriate curriculum, staffing, and scheduling.			×	
Š	somments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating: required for overall rating of Exemplary. Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory):	atisfacto	₹.		

also hope to see particular attention paid toward the retention of all staff, as well as opportunities for advancement within RPS, given more diverse staff, RPS is going to have to do more internal work in addition to the external outreach. We have to ensure that we are some progress because I know the work on this has begun. This is a very important goal for our district, and I believe in order to attract primary role. Further, I reluctantly marked Professional Practice Goal 1 "to develop and implement a talent diversification and the extremely challenging year had by all welcoming and inclusive district where people of color want to work. Hopefully, substantial progress will be made in the next year. I leadership development strategy," including "an increase in pipeline development, recruitment, and retention of staff of color" as having the volume of HR work happening at that time was more than enough for one person, let alone someone who had a demanding carried the dual roles of Superintendent and Human Resources Director for several months, and while he worked relentlessly, I think A new Human Resources Director was brought on board in December, and she has hit the ground running. Prior to that, Dr. Doherty

our staff and our students tremendously. I hope this can be factored into the planning of schedules for next year collaborate. Principals and administrators also have had dedicated time to collaborate. Allowing time for this work to happen benefits One benefit of the current school year has been common district planning time for teachers across grades and across schools to

struggling, Reading has managed to maintain the level of services that our families expect, and our students need. I am especially Dr. Doherty and his administrative team put together another strong budget for 2021-2022. At a time when many school districts are

hardworking families be restored to its entirety this Spring when all students are back full-time, and/or in the fall. It is an essential service we owe our and improve reading instruction across all programs. I am concerned about our Extended Day program and hope that the program can pleased about the inclusion of a new Special Education Literacy Coach. This position will help support our special education teachers OVERALL Rating for Standard III: Family & Community Engagement superintendent goal(s).) Rate each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff through effective partnerships with III-A. Engagement: Actively ensures that all families are welcome members of the classroom and school community and can contribute III-D. Family Concerns: Addresses family and community concerns in an equitable, effective, and efficient manner III-C. Communication: Engages in regular, two-way, culturally proficient communication with families and community stakeholders **≡**. Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard III: Family and Community **Engagement** Sharing Responsibility: Continuously collaborates with families and community stakeholders to support student learning and development at home, school, and in the community to the effectiveness of the classroom, school, district, and community about student learning and performance Focus Indicator (check if yes) Focus Indicator (check if yes) Focus Indicator (check if yes) Focus Indicator (check if yes) Œ 2 EDUCATION U Ø Ø Ø M \boxtimes m

families, community organizations, and other stakeholders that support the mission of the district and its schools

Comments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of Exemplary, Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory):

communication was not enough and others have said it was too much, but I believe the majority appreciate the updates. These developments, while not inundating them, all the while knowing that no amount of effort will please everyone. Some have said the COVID-19 case counts. His primary avenues have been weekly emails, sometimes more than once a week by necessity, and detailed into multiple languages in order to be accessible to families whose primary language is not English new updates and important school and COVID-related information and resources. Each of the Principal's newsletters can be translated outreach efforts have also been evident from the Principals, each of whom consistently send a weekly newsletter to caregivers with presentations at School Committee meetings. It is evident he has aimed for balance between keeping everyone informed of recent the community. This is especially challenging given the near constant changes from state mandates to the pandemic protocols to Dr. Doherty has dedicated significant effort over the past year toward providing clear and consistent communication with families and

activities. These have been a true highlight of our meetings by sharing appreciation for particular staff members or staff groups, followed up by photos of various students engaged in learning and events happening within RPS. There is still so much to celebrate about what is happening every day in our schools and I would One aspect that I miss about the way Dr. Doherty used to communicate with caregivers (pre-COVID-19) is a celebration of students like to see more of this from the Superintendent's communications. I do value that he ends his presentations to the School Committee

his decision-making Doherty feels is the safest decision for our schools. I commend Dr. Doherty for keeping student and staff health at the forefront of all of since last summer, but sometimes what families and staff want are at odds, and/or sometimes what families want is not what Dr became clear that the hybrid model at RMHS needed to be reworked. And, Dr. Doherty has sent a few surveys to families and staff schools. However, Dr. Doherty is consistently resistant to involving families in any stages of planning or pro-active work. It could have Regarding family concerns, Dr. Doherty responds promptly to parents and caregivers and is always open to discussion. He holds been beneficial, for instance, to include parent/caregivers on the back-to-school planning committees last summer, as well as when it regular (virtual) office hours. He has also held several virtual community forums when bigger changes were on the horizon for the

be citizens of the world. This will drive the vision for the Reading Public Schools quite impressed by their presentation at a recent School Committee meeting. This is exciting and vital work, and as I said a year ago, One project on which Dr. Doherty has included parents, students, and community members in planning is the Vision of the Graduate feel it is one of our essential duties as a school district to ensure that we are preparing our students for life beyond high school and to This work unfortunately had to be paused for a period due to the pandemic, but the committee resumed meeting this past fall and I was

Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard IV: Professional Culture



Comments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of Exemplary, Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory): Dr. Doherty holds himself and those around him to extremely high standards. He is very organized, always planning and strategizing, and able to look forward even as the day-to-day operations could be all-consuming. He frequently seeks feedback. He is fortunate to be surrounded by a very dedicated and talented district leadership team. I see evidence of him empowering his district leaders, validating their concerns, and being protective of their time and health. But I also continue to see inconsistency in him seeking and utilizing their input, or giving them as much control as they deserve, or delegating as much as he could in order to balance his own workload. I think teacher and administrator morale has suffered greatly this year, mostly for reasons beyond Dr. Doherty's control, but	OVERALL Rating for Standard IV: Professional Culture The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by nurturing and sustaining a Gistrictwide culture of reflective practice, high expectations, and continuous learning for staff.	IV-F. Managing Conflict: Employs strategies for responding to disagreement and dissent, constructively resolving conflict and building consensus throughout a district or school community. □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	IV-E. Shared Vision: Successfully and continuously engages all stakeholders in the creation of a shared educational vision in which every student is prepared to succeed in postsecondary education and become a responsible citizen and global contributor. □ □ □ □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)	IV-D. Continuous Learning: Develops and nurtures a culture in which staff members are reflective about their practice and use student data, current research, best practices, and theory to continuously adapt practice and achieve improved results. Models these behaviors in his or her own practice. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)	IV-C. Communication: Demonstrates strong interpersonal, written, and verbal communication skills. ☐ Focus Indicator (check if yes)	IV-B. Cultural Proficiency: Ensures that policies and practices enable staff members and students to interact effectively in a culturally diverse environment in which students' backgrounds, identities, strengths, and challenges are respected. □ □□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□	IV-A. Commitment to High Standards: Fosters a shared commitment to high standards of service, teaching, and learning with high □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	Rate each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to superintendent goal(s).)
and stra: e is fortuet leadeu ct leadeu seeking seeking lance his	×	⊠	⊠	×	×	×	×	P
tegizing, unate to s, y and s own ntrol, but			а				. 0	П

a major focus on celebrating all that our collective staff and leaders have accomplished together as well as staff retention as we near the end of the current school year. not entirely. And, I do not think all staff members feel as valued and supported as they could. As mentioned earlier, I hope there will be

decisions and such. the new Superintendent by holding weekly meetings with his successor, and by including the new Superintendent in major hiring I wish to note that I am grateful that Dr. Doherty is dedicating time during his final few months to try to ensure a smooth transition for

SUPERINTENDENT EVALUATION READING PUBLIC SCHOOLS

Summative Form

2020-2021 School Year



				Uns bek Nee Uns Pro:	Ste				Ste			
Standard IV: Professional Culture	Standard III: Family and Community Engagement	Standard II: Management and Operations	Standard I: Instructional Leadership	Unsatisfactory = Performance on a standard or overall has not significantly improved following a rating of Needs Improvement, or performance is consistently below the requirements of a standard or overall and is considered inadequate, or both. Needs Improvement/Developing = Performance on a standard or overall is below the requirements of a standard or overall but is not considered to be Unsatisfactory at the time. Improvement is necessary and expected. Proficient = Proficient practice is understood to be fully satisfactory. This is the rigorous expected level of performance. Exemplary = A rating of Exemplary indicates that practice significantly exceeds Proficient and could serve as a model of practice regionally or statewide.	p 2: Assess Performano	District Improvement Goal(s)	Student Learning Goal(s)	Professional Practice Goal(s)	Step 1: Assess Progress Toward Goals (Reference performance goals; check one		Evaluator:	Superintendent:
l Culture	Community En	t and Operatior	Leadership	ard or overall has r verall and is conside rmance on a stand necessary and exp stood to be fully s tes that practice sig	ce on Stand	al(s))	al(s)	oward Goal		Car	Dr. J
	gagement	าง		tot significantly improved follow ered inadequate, or both. ard or overall is below the requected. atisfactory. This is the rigor inificantly exceeds Proficient a	ards (Reference P	☐ Did Not Meet	☐ Did Not Meet	☐ Did Not Meet	s (Reference perfo	Name	Carla Nazzaro	Dr. John Doherty
				ving a rating of Needs Improver lirements of a standard or over ous expected level of perforn nd could serve as a model of p	erformance Rating:	Some Progress	Some Progress	Some Progress	rmance goals; che			
				ment, or performance is consistently all but is not considered to be nance.	Step 2: Assess Performance on Standards (Reference Performance Ratings per Standard; check one box for each Standard.)	x∐ Significant Progress	x∐ Significant Progress	x∐ Significant Progress	ck one for each set of goal[s].)	Signature		
				Unsatisfactory	ne box	☐ Met	☐ Met	☐ Met	al[s].)			
			0	Needs Improvement	for eac	et	et	et		Date	3/22/2021	
X		Χ□	ă	Proficient	h Stanc	☐ Exceeded	☐ Exceeded	☐ Exceeded		ıte	2021	
				Exemplary	fard.)	eded	eded	eded				



Step 3: Rate Overall Summativ	Step 3: Rate Overall Summative Performance (Based on Step 1 and Step 2 ratings;	d Step 2 ratings; check one.)	•
Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	x∐ Proficient	Exemplary
Step 4: Add Evaluator Comments	nts		
Comments and analysis are recommen	Comments and analysis are recommended for any rating but are required for an overall summative rating	all summative rating of Exemplary, I	of Exemplary, Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactor

Comments

insecurity, emotional support, and academic maintenance and progression. The Superintendent has led the charge with the monumental task of caring for our students and families on many fronts from food unprecedented time has also thrust the schools and the town into a position for caring not only for students, but their families, as well. platforms and techniques to engage students in sometimes an exclusively virtual world...It has been impressive to watch. This education is delivered to students. Our teachers have fundamentally reinvented how they teach. They have used various tools Since the writing of this evaluation, it has been almost 1 year since the COVID-19 virus essentially changed the way that public

gentlemen should be commended invaluable when faced with a crisis like COVID-19. This type of engagement and collaboration strengthens our town. Both of these always there to "have the other one's back". Our Superintendent and Town Manager have fostered this relationship which is presumably work independently of one another; in Reading, each entity is indeed separated by budget and staff, but each side is town staff collaborate and work together to achieve collective success. Many towns have a "town side" and a "school side" which recognize and thank him for his collaboration effort with the town. We, in Reading, are unique in the way that our school staff and dedicated worker. The Reading community benefits from his commitment, work ethic and dedication. Second, I would like to do it here. Dr. Doherty has served our town for 34 collective years, 12 years as our Superintendent. First, you will not find a more In the absence of a clear location on this evaluation form to highlight 2 things; his work ethic and his collaboration with the town, I will

changes for continued improvement is evident. This has been particularly evident with his hiring of key central office staff, in particular the Director of Human Resources. Over the past nine months, Dr. Doherty has consistently reached out for feedback. His ability to self-reflect and make appropriate

I wish Dr. Doherty well with his next venture

Superintendent's Performance Goals



District Improvement Goal C	District Improvement Goal B	District Improvement Goal A	Professional Practice Goal 4	Professional Practice Goal 3	Professional Practice Goal 2	Professional Practice Goal 1	Student Learning Goal	Superintendents mand aligned to at la Goals
IIA	1A, 1B, 1C, 1F	1E, IIA, IIIC, IVF	IIB, IVC	IIIA, IIIC,	IIA, IIE	IB	1A, 1B, 1C, 1E	nust identify at east one focus Focus Indicator
Based on quantitative and qualitative data analysis, the school district will review our equity and social justice practices, analyze student social emotional growth, and refine systems of support to ensure a healthy and successful learning community while meeting the unique needs of students.	Based on qualitative and quantitative data analysis, the school district will evaluate and refine standards-based instructional systems to meet the needs of all learners. Please note that state standardized data will look different and may be less available in a post pandemic world.	Based on our work since March, 2020, the school district will build a foundation of teaching and learning practices, infrastructure changes, assessment practices, and operations efficiencies to prepare schools for current conditions and future challenges in a post-pandemic world. This strategic objective may be extended based on the trajectory of the pandemic.	During the 2020-2021 School Year, I will create and implement an exit plan to support the transition of the new Superintendent of the Reading Public Schools. The success of this plan will be measured by the timely completion of all action items in this year's District Improvement plan and Superintendent's goals, other annual tasks, and give the incoming Superintendent as much information as possible for a successful transition on July 1, 2021.	District Vision of the Graduate (Aligned with Strategic Objective A)-Over the next school year, I will work with Reading educators, parents, local officials and community members to learn about and develop a vision of what skills, knowledge, and dispositions Reading students should attain and develop during their preK-12 years in our schools. Through these conversations, we will develop and receive approval of a district vision of the graduate which will be used as a vision statement for the Reading Public Schools and for the NEASC Accreditation Process.	School Physical and Psychological Safety (Aligned with Strategic Objective C)-During the 2020-2021 school year, we will continue to build and implement an infrastructure and a set of policies and procedures that will support the physical and psychological safety of our staff and students. The evidence to support a successful completion of this goal will be a positive overall response in the 2020-2021 staff survey of PRIDE in school leadership, shared decision-making, and school climate as evidenced by the PRIDE staff survey and a positive overall response in student learning environment scores (school life) as evidenced by the PRIDE student survey, VOCAL data (school climate section) and YRBS data (mental health section).	During the 2020-21 school year, the Reading Public Schools will begin a three-year plan to develop and implement a talent diversification and leadership development strategy. The success of this plan will be evidenced by an increase in pipeline development, recruitment, and retention of staff of color. Through the implementation of this plan, we will see an increase in staff of color by 100% (6 to 12) by the end of the 2022-23 school year and an increase in Reading staff who have been promoted from a teaching position to a leadership position (i.e. curriculum coordinator, department chair, assistant principal, team chair, principal, central office administrator). Currently, we have 10 former Reading teachers who are in leadership positions.	During the 2020-2023 School Years, we will improve our literacy evaluation process, enhance our reading instructional strategies, and structure our progress monitoring more effectively for students who are struggling readers. Based on our work, we will see progress as evidenced by reviewing previous case studies of students who struggled with Tier 2 interventions and comparing the following: an increase in identifying struggling readers earlier, students demonstrating growth on their IEP literacy goals, and fewer out of district placements with specific learning disabilities.	Superintendents must identify at least one student learning goal, one professional practice goal, and two to four district improvement goals. Goals should be SMART and aligned to at least one focus Indicator from the Standards for Effective Administrative Leadership. Goals Focus Goals Indicator Description
						<u> </u>		Did Not Meet
ă	ă				ă	ă	ă	Some Progress
		ă	ă		0			Significant Progress
				ă		0		Met
	0							Exceeded

	Standards and Indicators for Effective Administrati Superintendents should identify 1-2 focus Indicators per Standa	Standards and Indicators for Effective Administrative Leadership idents should identify 1-2 focus Indicators per Standard aligned to their goals.	
l. Instructional Leadership	II. Management & Operations	III. Family & Community Engagement	IV. Professional Culture
I-A. Curriculum	II-A. Environment	III-A. Engagement	IV-A. Commitment to High Standards
I-B. Instruction	II-B. HR Management and Development	III-B. Sharing Responsibility	IV-B. Cultural Proficiency
I-C. Assessment	II-C. Scheduling & Management Information	III-C. Communication	IV-C. Communication
I-D. Evaluation	Systems	III-D. Family Concerns	IV-D. Continuous Learning
1-E. Data-Informed Decision making	II-D. Law, Ethics and Policies		IV-E. Shared Vision
I-F. Student Learning	II-E. Fiscal Systems		IV-F. Managing Conflict

Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard I: Instructional Leadership

Massachusetts Department of ELEMENTARY & SECONDARY E.DUCATION	

Rate supe	Rate each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to superintendent goal(s).)	G	IN
ŀĄ.	Curriculum: Ensures that all instructional staff design effective and rigorous standards-based units of instruction consisting of well-structured lessons with measurable outcomes. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)		
- B.	Instruction: Ensures that practices in all settings reflect high expectations regarding content and quality of effort and work, engage all students, and are personalized to accommodate diverse learning styles, needs, interests, and levels of readiness. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)		
-ċ.	Assessment: Ensures that all principals and administrators facilitate practices that propel personnel to use a variety of formal and informal methods and assessments to measure student learning, growth, and understanding and make necessary adjustments to their practice when students are not learning. Focus Indicator (check if yes)		. п
-b.	Evaluation: Ensures effective and timely supervision and evaluation of all staff in alignment with state regulations and contract provisions. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)		
<u></u>	Data-Informed Decision Making: Uses multiple sources of evidence related to student learning—including state, district, and school assessment results and growth data—to inform school and district goals and improve organizational performance, educator effectiveness, and student learning. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)	0	
Į ,	Student Learning: Demonstrates expected impact on student learning based on multiple measures of student learning, growth, and achievement, including student progress on common assessments and statewide student growth measures where available. Focus Indicator (check if yes)	The Student Learning Indicator does not have corresponding descriptions of practice. Evidence of impact on student learning based on multiple measures of student learning, growth, and achievement must be taken into account when determining a performance rating for this Standard.	aming Ind of practice of practice on mult chievement
OVEI The e	OVERALL Rating for Standard I: Instructional Leadership The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by cultivating a shared vision that makes powerful teaching and learning the central focus of schooling.		

schools. Comments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of Exemplary, Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory): teachers intermittently each week. Complete curriculum guides are also important to ensure consistency with content learned between teachers who had to coordinate learning for fully remote students. These, primarily elementary school students, were taught by 2 am pleased that curriculum guides and pacing charts were completed by mid-year. This may have been particularly helpful for

It is evident that Dr. Doherty captures, processes and utilizes data to make informed decisions to improve student learning

Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard II: Management & Operations



Rate supe	Rate each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to superintendent goal(s).)	U	N	P	Е
Ⅱ- A.	 Environment: Develops and executes effective plans, procedures, routines, and operational systems to address a full range of safety, health, emotional, and social needs. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes) 			ă	
II-B.	 Human Resources Management and Development: Implements a cohesive approach to recruiting, hiring, induction, development, and career growth that promotes high-quality and effective practice. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes) 	0	ΧO		
II-C.	. Scheduling and Management Information Systems: Uses systems to ensure optimal use of data and time for teaching, learning, and collaboration, minimizing disruptions and distractions for school-level staff. ☐ Focus Indicator (check if yes)			ă	
II-D.	 Law, Ethics, and Policies: Understands and complies with state and federal laws and mandates, school committee policies, collective bargaining agreements, and ethical guidelines. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes) 			Ä	
Ψ	Fiscal Systems: Develops a budget that supports the district's vision, mission, and goals; allocates and manages expenditures consistent with district- and school-level goals and available resources. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)		<u> </u>	ă	
OVE The effec	OVERALL Rating for Standard II: Management & Operations The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by ensuring a safe, efficient, and effective learning environment, using resources to implement appropriate curriculum, staffing, and scheduling.			X	

Comments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of Exemplary, Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory):

culture, engagement and direction of the high school educational excellence and ultimately trickles down to engaged and happy students. I continue to be particularly concerned with the culture ultimately impacts all staff and students. Engaged and empowered staff help to create an environment which fosters II-B: I have concerns with the district's ability to retain staff. This issue ultimately lays at the foot of the Superintendent. The workplace

assessment last year, I included the treatment of students in 2 pending OCR cases. These cases were recently "closed" by the Office think remedies have been put in place to improve these incidences, but because 1 of the 3 incidences that I previously based this on, damage has been done. The length of time that OCR sat on these cases is unacceptable. I would like to make it clear that these district rectifies issues. Unfortunately, because these students have already graduated, they will not receive additional services). The of Civil Rights. The good news is that the district has "improved" in areas that were once deficient; the bad news is that the students because they have not been managed properly. Effective management of bullying issues is imperative and necessary. In this is no longer applicable. These incidences are a result of inappropriate behaviors, by teachers, that have been allowed to continue II-D: Last year, I had indicated an "Unsatisfactory" in this category. This year I have moved it to "Needs Improvement", not because I have worked diligently to rectify deficient areas cases were filed long before our current Assistant Superintendent of Student Services was employed in our district, She and her staff involved in these cases were not "made whole" (in part, because that is not in the purview of OCR, they are looking to ensure the

organization to routinely review second party contracts In my last year's Superintendent review, I indicated that I was looking forward to a review of the district's Special Education Counsel This, understandably, was not a priority this year. I look forward to this happening next year. It is an important best practice tor any

commend the way in which the situation was ultimately handled. The tough decisions that needed to be made were made with II-E: In regards to the budgetary issue with revolving accounts for our Extended Day program, which resulted in support staff lay-offs, I integrity.

Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard III: Family and Community **Engagement**



superintendent goal(s).) Rate each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned in the content of the content

III-A. Engagement: Actively ensures that all families are welcome members of the classroom and school community a

to the effectiveness of the classroom, school, district, and community

nd con contribute

Rate each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to superintendent goal(s).)	Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard IV: Professional Culture	III-C: Dr. Doherty is very timely with communicating information regarding new directives to families and staff. He has engaged stakeholders by having community forums where those in attendance may ask questions, hear updates and voice concerns. Many parents were appreciative of his time and thoughtfulness during these discussions. These forums provided needed clarification, on certain issues, which alleviated angst and concern among parents.	Comments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of <i>Exemplary, Needs Improvement</i> or <i>Unsatisfactory</i>): III-A: Dr. Doherty has proven his abilities to manage during crisis. Where I feel he sometimes falls short is his reluctance to accept help or to include others in the planning and exploratory process. There is a very strong willingness by parents and community members to help out the schools in any way possible. When our schools succeed, we all succeed. This was clearly evident when the elementary schools needed additional paraeducators for coverage in the classroom. In the absence of a Human Resources Director, parents promulgated the needs of the school and were able to quickly help solve this problem. In addition, parent and community members volunteered to fill, in one weeks-time, 246 (out of 300) volunteer days to get our high school students back in the classroom. This solution was rejected at the 11th hour to the frustration of many. There are times where working groups, comprised of all stakeholders, can be an effective and efficient way to problem solve. I believe Dr. Doherty could be more effective by engaging stakeholders at appropriate times during the decision-making process to successfully solve problems.	OVERALL Rating for Standard III: Family & Community Engagement The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff through effective partnerships with families, community organizations, and other stakeholders that support the mission of the district and its schools.	III-D. Family Concerns: Addresses family and community concerns in an equitable, effective, and efficient manner. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)	III-C. Communication: Engages in regular, two-way, culturally proficient communication with families and community stakeholders about student learning and performance. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)	III-B. Sharing Responsibility: Continuously collaborates with families and community stakeholders to support student learning and development at home, school, and in the community. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)	☐ Focus Indicator (check if yes)
U	re	staff. H nd voic ed need	atisfacto s his rel rents al rents clea vas clea Human n, parer dents b dents b ips, cor effectiv		0			
N		le has e e conce led clar	luctance luctance nd com arly evice Resou it and contack in the arck in the arck in the contack		_	П		
P	Anacoro ELEVERY EDU	າngaged ∍rns. Mi ification,	e to accomunity dent who rees Dir ommunity ommuni of all gaging	X	ΧO		č	
E	Phasadureus Disputation in ELEMBYIANY & SECONDARY EDUCATION	any on	ept n the ector, ty yroom.			ă		

		•		
sroom in the ₃ls are	evident Principa	e wonde both in a ing, are though strict.	rsatisfact mes, the culture, g-stand f. Even	Comments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of <i>Exemplary, Needs Improvement</i> or <i>Unsatisfactory</i>): IV-B: We have many wonderful and exciting things going on at Reading Memorial High School. Sometimes, the wonderful and positive aspects are diminished by the negative. I have continued concerns of pockets of negative staff culture, both in the classroom and on the sports field, which trickles down and adversely effects students. These issues, which are long-standing, are evident in the high turnover of administrative level positions such as principal, assistant principal, and department head. Even though Principals are responsible for managing their buildings, the Superintendent is ultimately responsible for the wellbeing of the District.
	X			OVERALL Rating for Standard IV: Professional Culture The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by nurturing and sustaining a districtwide culture of reflective practice, high expectations, and continuous learning for staff.
	Χ□		0	IV-F. Managing Conflict: Employs strategies for responding to disagreement and dissent, constructively resolving conflict and building consensus throughout a district or school community. ☐ Focus Indicator (check if yes)
	X□	· 0		IV-E. Shared Vision: Successfully and continuously engages all stakeholders in the creation of a shared educational vision in which every student is prepared to succeed in postsecondary education and become a responsible citizen and global contributor. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)
	X□			IV-D. Continuous Learning: Develops and nurtures a culture in which staff members are reflective about their practice and use student data, current research, best practices, and theory to continuously adapt practice and achieve improved results. Models these behaviors in his or her own practice. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)
	× •		0	IV-C. Communication: Demonstrates strong interpersonal, written, and verbal communication skills. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)
		· X		 IV-B. Cultural Proficiency: Ensures that policies and practices enable staff members and students to interact effectively in a culturally diverse environment in which students' backgrounds, identities, strengths, and challenges are respected. Focus Indicator (check if yes)
	×			IV-A. Commitment to High Standards: Fosters a shared commitment to high standards of service, teaching, and learning with high expectations for achievement for all. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)

SUPERINTENDENT EVALUATION READING PUBLIC SCHOOLS

Summative Form

2020-2021 School Year



	Evaluator:	Superintendent:
Name	John Parks	John Doherty
Signature		
Date	3/25/2021	

Step 1: Assess Progress Toward Goals (Reference performance goals; check one for each set of goal[s].)

District Improvement Goal(s)	Student Learning Goal(s)	Professional Practice Goal(s)
Did Not Meet	Did Not Meet	Did Not Meet
X Some Progress	X Some Progress	X Some Progress
Significant Progress	Significant Progress	Significant Progress
Met	Met ·	Met
Exceeded	Exceeded	Exceeded

Step 2: Assess Performance on Standards (Reference Performance Ratings per Standard; check one box for each Standard.)

Unsatisfactory = Performance on a standard or over below the requirements of a standard or overall and i

Unsatisfactory at the time. Improvement is necessary Needs Improvement/Developing = Performance or

Exemplary = A rating of Exemplary indicates that pra Proficient = Proficient practice is understood to b

Standard IV: Professional Culture



Step 3: Rate Overall Summative Performance (Based on Step 1 and Step 2 ratings; check one.)

Unsatisfactory

Needs Improvement

X Proficient

Exemplary

Step 4: Add Evaluator Comments

Comments and analysis are recommended for any rating but are required for an overall summative rating of Exemplary, Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory.

Comments:

sure the students got as positive an experience as possible in very trying times. level and the individual level for all involved. I am proud of our district leadership team and how they rose to the challenge to make Under normal circumstances, things may have been different on this evaluation. Still, it has been a challenging year for the district

successful. a priority and an area that moving forward needs to be a focus within the district to allow RPS to grow and move forward to be another key central office position is without an executed contract and has on file a letter of resignation. Key district positions must be I worry that while somewhat out of Dr. Doherty's control due to the current pandemic the HR position was open as long as it was, and

Superintendent's Performance Goals



District IIA Improvement Goal C	District 1A, 1B, Improvement 1C, 1F Goal B	District 1E, IIA, Improvement IIIC, IVF	Professional Practice Goal 4	Professional IVE Practice Goal 3	IIA, IIE Professional Practice Goal 2	IIB Professional Practice Goal 1	1A, 1B, 1C, 1E Student Learning Goal	and aligned to at least one focus Focus Goals Indicator
Based on quantitative and qualitative data analysis, the school district will review our equity and social justice practices, analyze student social emotional growth, and refine systems of support to ensure a healthy and successful learning community while meeting the unique needs of students.	Based on qualitative and quantitative data analysis, the school district will evaluate and refine standards-based instructional systems to meet the needs of all learners. Please note that state standardized data will look different and may be less available in a post pandemic world.	Based on our work since March, 2020, the school district will build a foundation of teaching and learning practices, infrastructure changes, assessment practices, and operations efficiencies to prepare schools for current conditions and future challenges in a post-pandemic world. This strategic objective may be extended based on the trajectory of the pandemic.	During the 2020-2021 School Year, I will create and implement an exit plan to support the transition of the new Superintendent of the Reading Public Schools. The success of this plan will be measured by the timely completion of all action items in this year's District Improvement plan and Superintendent's goals, other annual tasks, and give the incoming Superintendent as much information as possible for a successful transition on July 1, 2021.	District Vision of the Graduate (Aligned with Strategic Objective A)-Over the next school year, I will work with Reading educators, parents, local officials and community members to learn about and develop a vision of what skills, knowledge, and dispositions Reading students should attain and develop during their preK-12 years in our schools. Through these conversations, we will develop and receive approval of a district vision of the graduate which will be used as a vision statement for the Reading Public Schools and for the NEASC Accreditation Process.	School Physical and Psychological Safety (Aligned with Strategic Objective C)-During the 2020-2021 school year, we will continue to build and implement an infrastructure and a set of policies and procedures that will support the physical and psychological safety of our staff and students. The evidence to support a successful completion of this goal will be a positive overall response in the 2020-2021 staff survey of PRIDE in school leadership, shared decision-making, and school climate as evidenced by the PRIDE staff survey and a positive overall response in student learning environment scores (school life) as evidenced by the PRIDE student survey, VOCAL data (school climate section) and YRBS data (mental health section).	During the 2020-21 school year, the Reading Public Schools will begin a three-year plan to develop and implement a talent diversification and leadership development strategy. The success of this plan will be evidenced by an increase in pipeline development, recruitment, and retention of staff of color. Through the implementation of this plan, we will see an increase in staff of color by 100% (6 to 12) by the end of the 2022-23 school year and an increase in Reading staff who have been promoted from a teaching position to a leadership position (i.e. curriculum coordinator, department chair, assistant principal, team chair, principal, central office administrator). Currently, we have 10 former Reading teachers who are in leadership positions.	During the 2020-2023 School Years, we will improve our literacy evaluation process, enhance our reading instructional strategies, and structure our progress monitoring more effectively for students who are struggling readers. Based on our work, we will see progress as evidenced by reviewing previous case studies of students who struggled with Tier 2 interventions and comparing the following: an increase in identifying struggling readers earlier, students demonstrating growth on their IEP literacy goals, and fewer out of district placements with specific learning disabilities.	and aligned to at least one focus Indicator from the Standards for Effective Administrative Leadership. Focus Goals Indicator
	\		<u> </u>		×	×	×	Did Not Meet Some
×	× .	×	×	×		× .		Progress Significant
								Progress Met
								Exceeded

	Standards and Indicators for Ef Superintendents should identify 1-2 focus I	Standards and Indicators for Effective Administrative Leadership Superintendents should identify 1-2 focus Indicators per Standard aligned to their goals.	
l. Instructional Leadership	II. Management & Operations	III. Family & Community Engagement	IV. Professional Culture
I-A. Curriculum	II-A. Environment	III-A. Engagement	IV-A. Commitment to High Standards
I-B. Instruction	II-B. HR Management and Development	III-B. Sharing Responsibility	IV-B. Cultural Proficiency
I-C. Assessment	II-C. Scheduling & Management Information	III-C. Communication	IV-C. Communication
I-D. Evaluation	Systems	III-D. Family Concerns	IV-D. Continuous Learning
I-E. Data-Informed Decision making	II-D. Law, Ethics and Policies		IV-E. Shared Vision
I-F. Student Learning	II-E. Fiscal Systems		IV-F. Managing Conflict

Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard I: Instructional Leadership



Rate supe	Rate each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to superintendent goal(s).)	c	2
. . F	Curriculum: Ensures that all instructional staff design effective and rigorous standards-based units of instruction consisting of well-structured lessons with measurable outcomes. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)		
ë	Instruction: Ensures that practices in all settings reflect high expectations regarding content and quality of effort and work, engage all students, and are personalized to accommodate diverse learning styles, needs, interests, and levels of readiness. Focus Indicator (check if yes)		
-ċ.	Assessment: Ensures that all principals and administrators facilitate practices that propel personnel to use a variety of formal and informal methods and assessments to measure student learning, growth, and understanding and make necessary adjustments to their practice when students are not learning. Focus Indicator (check if yes)		
ŀ.	Evaluation: Ensures effective and timely supervision and evaluation of all staff in alignment with state regulations and contract provisions. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)		×
Ψ̈́	Data-Informed Decision Making: Uses multiple sources of evidence related to student learning—including state, district, and school assessment results and growth data—to inform school and district goals and improve organizational performance, educator effectiveness, and student learning. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)		
. .	Student Learning: Demonstrates expected impact on student learning based on multiple measures of student learning, growth, and achievement, including student progress on common assessments and statewide student growth measures where available. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)	The Student Learning Indicator does not have corresponding descriptions of practice. Evidence of impact on student learning based on multiple measures of student learning, growth, and achievement must be taken into account when determining a performance rating for this Standard.	e Student Learning Indicator does not have correspond descriptions of practice. Evidence of impact on student earning based on multiple measures of student learning rowth, and achievement must be taken into account who determining a performance rating for this Standard.
OVER The e	OVERALL Rating for Standard I: Instructional Leadership The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by cultivating a shared vision that makes powerful teaching and learning the central focus of schooling.		

can be made for a win-win or a proper decision can be made. be backed by documentation, and supporting materials should be provided to the person being evaluated so adjustments in behavior be given, it is not documented and administered in a way that backs district-level decisions. All district-level decisions should be able to 1-D While this may be happening at the School level, my worry is that it is not happening in an effective manner. While feedback may

Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard II: Management & Operations

-	()
EDUCATION	Massachuseits Department of

Rate	Rate each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to superintendent goal(s).)	U	· NI	P	m
II-A.	. Environment: Develops and executes effective plans, procedures, routines, and operational systems to address a full range of safety, health, emotional, and social needs. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)		×		
₽	 Human Resources Management and Development: Implements a cohesive approach to recruiting, hiring, induction, development, and career growth that promotes high-quality and effective practice. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes) 		×		
1-c.	. Scheduling and Management Information Systems: Uses systems to ensure optimal use of data and time for teaching, learning, and collaboration, minimizing disruptions and distractions for school-level staff. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)		×		
	 Law, Ethics, and Policies: Understands and complies with state and federal laws and mandates, school committee policies, collective bargaining agreements, and ethical guidelines. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes) 		angrings (1980)	*	
Ē	. Fiscal Systems: Develops a budget that supports the district's vision, mission, and goals; allocates and manages expenditures consistent with district- and school-level goals and available resources. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)			×	
OVE The effe	OVERALL Rating for Standard II: Management & Operations The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by ensuring a safe, efficient, and effective learning environment, using resources to implement appropriate curriculum, staffing, and scheduling.		× .		

possible. Delays in bringing in an HR person could have been part of this issue the committee were the safest procedures possible. It lacked full thought of staffing requirements to put them into place until it was past when such staffing was input, key stakeholders got passed over or ignored when building plans to reopen under COVID guidelines from the state. The overall plans that got put forth to While minimal DESE guidelines were delivered for the opening of school and the plans put forth by the district could have been delivered if all stakeholders had Students and staff are in different places because of the current environment, and this should be objectively listened to prior to making district-level decisions. II-A. While this has been a challenging year for staff and students, leadership made conscious decisions to listen to the loudest voices instead of all voices

assessment of job responsibilities and functions showed quickly that the position was posted incorrectly, and when a title change was done, the process to fill the similar issues to RPS does not go unnoticed. Losing sight of hiring a key position like HR for several months is a mistake that can not be overlooked. Careful was well developed for a challenging year and delivered professionally with all required district training position moved forward. Retention of critical roles is always another issue the district faces and needs to be looked at closely. The induction process of new staff II-B. The delays in getting an HR Director in place hampered obtaining staffing levels needed for this school year, understanding that most districts faced these

been achieved and causes staff and students delays in accessing classes and materials needed. This update would allow for staff and students to access all II-C. DESE released requirements to the district in the late summer that required SSO be completed before the student's return to school, to date, this has not needed software and materials in a more efficient way.

Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard III: Family and Community Engagement



Rate each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to superintendent goal(s).)	U	NI P	E
 III-A. Engagement: Actively ensures that all families are welcome members of the classroom and school community and can contribute to the effectiveness of the classroom, school, district, and community. Focus Indicator (check if yes) 		×	
 III-B. Sharing Responsibility: Continuously collaborates with families and community stakeholders to support student learning and development at home, school, and in the community. Focus Indicator (check if yes) 		×	
 III-C. Communication: Engages in regular, two-way, culturally proficient communication with families and community stakeholders about student learning and performance. Focus Indicator (check if yes) 		×	
III-D. Family Concerns: Addresses family and community concerns in an equitable, effective, and efficient manner. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)		X	

	×		IV-C. Communication: Demonstrates strong interpersonal, written, and verbal communication skills. ☐ Focus Indicator (check if yes)
*			IV-B. Cultural Proficiency: Ensures that policies and practices enable staff members and students to interact effectively in a culturally diverse environment in which students' backgrounds, identities, strengths, and challenges are respected. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)
×			 IV-A. Commitment to High Standards: Fosters a shared commitment to high standards of service, teaching, and learning with high expectations for achievement for all. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)
m	2	C	Rate each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to superintendent goal(s).)
EDUCATION		ure	Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard IV: Professional Culture
n what is best for	ds to be o	ocus neec	III-D. While concerns may be heard, the issue of equity in the end result was not achieved over the last several months. The focus needs to be on what is best for all, not just those with the loudest voices. Again this goes back to the challenges of a single year.
udents is lacking ype of check-in plans put in	emote stus, some ty tified and	ocus on rable on this lents ident	III-B. While facing a challenging year on many fronts, this should have been a critical focus for the Superintendent this year. Focus on remote students is lacking at times as teachers are tasked with working with in-person and remote students. While no easy answer or approach is available on this, some type of check-in should have been rolled out with the back-to-school plans in August. The focus needs to be prioritized to those struggling students identified and plans put in place to engage the parents and students.
	·×		OVERALL Rating for Standard III: Family & Community Engagement The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff through effective partnerships with families, community organizations, and other stakeholders that support the mission of the district and its schools.

IV-F. This is an area that continues to be an issue with the Superintendent. While disagreement happens, it is the level of disagreement that worries me. Supporting staff is not a clear focus and is second to personal goals at times. Clear examples of this can be seen over the last few months. Silence on issues sends as clear a message as supporting outside interest instead of the district's leadership team. The focus required to show support in this area needs to be an ongoing school committee focus.
IV-C. Interpersonal and verbal skills are crucial to building long-lasting relationships. Tone and delivery are essential to this, and while understanding the challenges faced over the last few months, this is an area that needs to be of focus. Allowing situations to spiral and frustration to set in hampers the message's overall effectiveness and derails the message. Written communication can also carry a positive or negative tone, and messages should be reviewed for clarity and tone before being sent out to the district.
OVERALL Rating for Standard IV: Professional Culture The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by nurturing and sustaining a district-wide culture of reflective practice, high expectations, and continuous learning for staff.
IV-F. Managing Conflict: Employs strategies for responding to disagreement and dissent, constructively resolving conflict and building consensus throughout a district or school community.□ Focus Indicator (check if yes)
IV-E. Shared Vision: Successfully and continuously engages all stakeholders in the creation of a shared educational vision in which every student is prepared to succeed in postsecondary education and become a responsible citizen and global contributor.□ Focus Indicator (check if yes)
 IV-D. Continuous Learning: Develops and nurtures a culture in which staff members are reflective about their practice and use student data, current research, best practices, and theory to continuously adapt practice and achieve improved results. Models these behaviors in his or her own practice. Focus Indicator (check if yes)

SUPERINTENDENT EVALUATION READING PUBLIC SCHOOLS

Summative Form

2020-2021 School Year

End-of-Cycle Summative Evaluation Report: Superintendent



Superintendent: Evaluator: Step 1: Assess Progress Toward Professional Practice Goal(s) Student Learning Goal(s)	Superintendent: Dr. John Doherty Evaluator: Charles Robinson Name Signat Step 1: Assess Progress Toward Goals (Reference performance goals; check one Professional Practice Goal(s) Did Not Meet Some Progress Si Student Learning Goal(s) Did Not Meet Some Progress Si	>rmance goals; che	Signature ck one for each set of goal[s].) ☐ Significant Progress x☐ I	> <i>al[s].</i>) ×□ Met	3/15/21 Date et	te	ă ă e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
Professional Practice Go Student Learning Goal(s	al(s)	☐ Some Progress ☐ Some Progress	☐ Significant Progress☐ Significant Progress	× ×	e e	☐ Excee	ded d
Student Learning Goal(s) District Improvement Goal(s)	ll(s)	☐ Some Progress ☐ Some Progress	☐ Significant Progress☐ Significant Progress	× Met	e e	☐ Exceeded	de d
Step 2: Assess Performan	Step 2: Assess Performance on Standards (Reference Performance Ratings per Si	erformance Ratings	s per Standard; check one box for each Standard.)	ıe box f	or each	Stand	ard.)
Unsatisfactory = Performance on a standard or overall has not sign below the requirements of a standard or overall and is considered in Needs Improvement/Developing = Performance on a standard or Unsatisfactory at the time. Improvement is necessary and expected. Proficient = Proficient practice is understood to be fully satisfated. Exemplary = A rating of Exemplary indicates that practice significant.	Unsatisfactory = Performance on a standard or overall has not significantly improved following a rating of Needs Improvement, or performance is consistently below the requirements of a standard or overall and is considered inadequate, or both. Needs Improvement/Developing = Performance on a standard or overall is below the requirements of a standard or overall but is not considered to be Unsatisfactory at the time. Improvement is necessary and expected. Proficient = Proficient practice is understood to be fully satisfactory. This is the rigorous expected level of performance. Exemplary = A rating of Exemplary indicates that practice significantly exceeds Proficient and could serve as a model of practice regionally or statewide.	wing a rating of Needs Improver uirements of a standard or overa ous expected level of perform and could serve as a model of pr	nent, or performance is consistently all but is not considered to be ance.	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
Standard I: Instructional Leadership	Leadership					X	
Standard II: Management and Operations	nt and Operations					ă	
Standard III: Family and	Standard III: Family and Community Engagement					ă	
Standard IV: Professional Culture	al Culture					ă	

End-of-Cycle Summative Evaluation Report: Superintendent



Step 3: Rate Overall Summative Performance (Based on Step 1 and Step 2 ratings;	rmance (Based on Step 1 and Ste	»p 2 ratings; check one.)	
Unsatisfactory	☐ Needs Improvement	X□ Proficient	Exemplary
Step 4: Add Evaluator Comments			
Comments and analysis are recommended for any rating but are required for an overall summative rating of Exemplary, Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory. Comments:	ny rating but are required for an overall sun	nmative rating of Exemplary, Needs Impro	overnent or Unsatisfactory.
Under significant burden presented by the COVID-19 crisis Dr. Doherty performed exceptionally well in keeping the community informed and remaining nimble during the situation. The students, teachers and staff were always in his best interest when making decisions	the COVID-19 crisis Dr. Doherty per the situation. The students, teachers	formed exceptionally well in keeping the community and staff were always in his best interest when ma	ng the community interest when making
decisions.			

Superintendent's Performance Goals



District Improvement Goal C	District Improvement Goal B	District Improvement Goal A	Professional Practice Goal 4	Professional Practice Goal 3	Professional Practice Goal 2	Professional Practice Goal 1	Student Learning Goal	Superintendents mu and aligned to at lea Goals
Ä	1A, 1B, 1C, 1F	1E, IIA, IIIC, IVF	IIB, IVC	IIIA, IIIC, IVE	IIA, IIE	IB	1A, 1B, 1C, 1E	ast identify at last one focus last one focus l
Based on quantitative and qualitative data analysis, the school district will review our equity and social justice practices, analyze student social emotional growth, and refine systems of support to ensure a healthy and successful learning community while meeting the unique needs of students.	Based on qualitative and quantitative data analysis, the school district will evaluate and refine standards-based instructional systems to meet the needs of all learners. Please note that state standardized data will look different and may be less available in a post pandemic world.	Based on our work since March 2020, the school district will build a foundation of teaching and learning practices, infrastructure changes, assessment practices, and operations efficiencies to prepare schools for current conditions and future challenges in a post-pandemic world. This strategic objective may be extended based on the trajectory of the pandemic.	During the 2020-2021 School Year, I will create and implement an exit plan to support the transition of the new Superintendent of the Reading Public Schools. The success of this plan will be measured by the timely completion of all action items in this year's District Improvement plan and Superintendent's goals, other annual tasks, and give the incoming Superintendent as much information as possible for a successful transition on July 1, 2021.	District Vision of the Graduate (Aligned with Strategic Objective A)-Over the next school year, I will work with Reading educators, parents, local officials and community members to learn about and develop a vision of what skills, knowledge, and dispositions Reading students should attain and develop during their preK-12 years in our schools. Through these conversations, we will develop and receive approval of a district vision of the graduate which will be used as a vision statement for the Reading Public Schools and for the NEASC Accreditation Process.	School Physical and Psychological Safety (Aligned with Strategic Objective C)-During the 2020-2021 school year, we will continue to build and implement an infrastructure and a set of policies and procedures that will support the physical and psychological safety of our staff and students. The evidence to support a successful completion of this goal will be a positive overall response in the 2020-2021 staff survey of PRIDE in school leadership, shared decision-making, and school climate as evidenced by the PRIDE staff survey and a positive overall response in student learning environment scores (school life) as evidenced by the PRIDE student survey, VOCAL data (school climate section) and YRBS data (mental health section).	During the 2020-21 school year, the Reading Public Schools will begin a three-year plan to develop and implement a talent diversification and leadership development strategy. The success of this plan will be evidenced by an increase in pipeline development, recruitment, and retention of staff of color. Through the implementation of this plan, we will see an increase in staff of color by 100% (6 to 12) by the end of the 2022-23 school year and an increase in Reading staff who have been promoted from a teaching position to a leadership position (i.e. curriculum coordinator, department chair, assistant principal, team chair, principal, central office administrator). Currently, we have 10 former Reading teachers who are in leadership positions.	During the 2020-2023 School Years, we will improve our literacy evaluation process, enhance our reading instructional strategies, and structure our progress monitoring more effectively for students who are struggling readers. Based on our work, we will see progress as evidenced by reviewing previous case studies of students who struggled with Tier 2 interventions and comparing the following: an increase in identifying struggling readers earlier, students demonstrating growth on their IEP literacy goals, and fewer out of district placements with specific learning disabilities.	Superintendents must identify at least one student learning goal, one professional practice goal, and two to four district improvement goals. Goals should be SMART and aligned to at least one focus Indicator from the Standards for Effective Administrative Leadership. Goals Focus Goals Indicator Description
				0				Did Not Meet
		0	0		0		0	Some Progress
ă	Ä	ă	ă	ă		ă	ă	Significant Progress
		0			X	0		Met
					0			Exceeded

	Standards and Indicators for El Superintendents should identify 1-2 focus	Standards and Indicators for Effective Administrative Leadership Superintendents should identify 1-2 focus Indicators per Standard aligned to their goals.	
l. Instructional Leadership	II. Management & Operations	III. Family & Community Engagement	IV. Professional Culture
I-A. Curriculum	II-A. Environment	III-A. Engagement	IV-A. Commitment to High Standards
I-B. Instruction	II-B. HR Management and Development	III-B. Sharing Responsibility	IV-B. Cultural Proficiency
I-C. Assessment	II-C. Scheduling & Management Information	III-C. Communication	IV-C. Communication
I-D. Evaluation	Systems	III-D. Family Concerns	IV-D. Continuous Learning
I-E. Data-Informed Decision making	II-D. Law, Ethics and Policies		IV-E. Shared Vision
I-F. Student Learning	II-E. Fiscal Systems		IV-F. Managing Conflict

Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard I: Instructional Leadership

I	-
I	EDUCAT
	MASSACHINSHID DEPORTMENT OF ELEMENTARY & SECONDARY EDUCATION
	DARY DARY

OVE The mak	. T	Ψ.	Ë		Ė.	Ż	Rate
OVERALL Rating for Standard I: Instructional Leadership The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by cultivating a shared vision that makes powerful teaching and learning the central focus of schooling.	Student Learning: Demonstrates expected impact on student learning based on multiple measures of student learning, growth, and achievement, including student progress on common assessments and statewide student growth measures where available. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)	 Data-Informed Decision Making: Uses multiple sources of evidence related to student learning—including state, district, and school assessment results and growth data—to inform school and district goals and improve organizational performance, educator effectiveness, and student learning. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes) 	. Evaluation: Ensures effective and timely supervision and evaluation of all staff in alignment with state regulations and contract provisions. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)	 Assessment: Ensures that all principals and administrators facilitate practices that propel personnel to use a variety of formal and informal methods and assessments to measure student learning, growth, and understanding and make necessary adjustments to their practice when students are not learning. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes) 	Instruction: Ensures that practices in all settings reflect high expectations regarding content and quality of effort and work, engage all students, and are personalized to accommodate diverse learning styles, needs, interests, and levels of readiness. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)	. Curriculum: Ensures that all instructional staff design effective and rigorous standards-based units of instruction consisting of well-structured lessons with measurable outcomes. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)	Rate each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to superintendent goal(s).)
	The Student Landescription: description: learning basing growth, are determining the students of the students			а			Ŋ
_ ·	earning Indicates of practice. Even of practice is of practice in a constant of the second of the se	ο.			. П		NI
Σ	The Student Learning Indicator does not have corresponding descriptions of practice. Evidence of impact on student learning based on multiple measures of student learning, growth, and achievement must be considered when determining a performance rating for this Standard.	N.	Χ□	Χ□	Χ□	X	Р
0	corresponding to n student feart learning, ered when Standard.			О			E

)es	Comments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of Exemplary, Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory): Despite the obstacles of COVID-19 Dr. Doherty pivoted extremely well to deliver high quality instruction to students.	tisfactor, studen	;; ;; ;; ;; ;; ;; ;; ;; ;; ;; ;; ;; ;;		
S	Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard II: Management & Operations	eratio	ns	MADSACTIVE ELEMENTAN ELDUC	HANSAGPTRAUT DE PERFERENCE OF ELEMENTARY & SECONDARY E DUCATION
Rate Supe	Rate each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to superintendent goal(s).)	U	N.	ס	m
≡ ≯	Environment: Develops and executes effective plans, procedures, routines, and operational systems to address a full range of safety, health, emotional, and social needs. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)	0		X	0
<u>₽</u>	Human Resources Management and Development: Implements a cohesive approach to recruiting, hiring, induction, development, and career growth that promotes high-quality and effective practice.			×	
	Scheduling and Management Information Systems: Uses systems to ensure optimal use of data and time for teaching, learning, and collaboration, minimizing disruptions and distractions for school-level staff. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)			ă	
₽	Law, Ethics, and Policies: Understands and complies with state and federal laws and mandates, school committee policies, collective bargaining agreements, and ethical guidelines. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)			ă	
Ë	Fiscal Systems: Develops a budget that supports the district's vision, mission, and goals; allocates and manages expenditures consistent with district- and school-level goals and available resources. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)			ă	
OVE The	OVERALL Rating for Standard II: Management & Operations The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by ensuring a safe, efficient, and effective learning environment, using resources to implement appropriate curriculum, staffing, and scheduling.			ă	

a high-level a high-level blanching Dispartment of EIGHERINAY & SECONDAY EDUCATION P E C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	ain a high	o mainta	nunity	Dr. Doherty was able to upgrade the HR position which will help the district significantly. Dr. Doherty continues to maintain a high-level staff in the district. Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard III: Family and Community Engagement Superintendent staff in the district. Superintendent staff in the district significantly. Dr. Doherty continues to maintain a high-level staff in the district significantly. Dr. Doherty continues to maintain a high-level staff in the district significantly. Dr. Doherty continues to maintain a high-level staff in the district significantly. Dr. Doherty continues to maintain a high-level staff in the district significantly. Dr. Doherty continues to maintain a high-level staff in the district significantly. Dr. Doherty continues to maintain a high-level staff in the district significantly. Dr. Doherty continues to maintain a high-level staff in the district significantly. Dr. Doherty continues to maintain a high-level staff in the district significantly. Dr. Doherty continues to maintain a high-level staff in the district significantly. Dr. Doherty continues to maintain a high-level staff in the district significantly. Dr. Doherty continues to maintain a high-level staff in the district significantly. Dr. Doherty continues to maintain a high-level staff in the district significantly. Dr. Doherty continues to maintain a high-level staff in the district significantly. Dr. Doherty continues to maintain a high-level staff in the district significantly. Dr. Doherty continues to update the district significantly. Dr. Doherty continues to update the district significantly. Dr. Doherty continues to update the district significantly. Dr. Doherty continues to update the district significantly. Dr. Doherty continues to update the district significantly. Dr. Doherty continues to update the district significantly. Dr. Doherty continues to update the district significantly. Dr. Doherty continues to update the district significantly. Dr. Doherty continues to update the district sig
				OVERALL Rating for Standard III: Family & Community Engagement
	×	О		OVERALL Rating for Standard III: Family & Community Engagement The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff through effective partnerships with
	ă	a		
	ă	0	0	
	X			Engagement: Actively ensures that all families are welcome members of the classroom and school communito the effectiveness of the classroom, school, district, and community. 1 Focus Indicator (check if yes)
m	P	· N	U	or and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those al
is Department of resecondary ATTON	макчастизнія відемвичаку (ЕДОЙС)	- 1	unity	Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard III: Family and Comm Engagement
C			Till des k	ble to upgrade the HK position which will help the district significantly.
h-level	ב <u>ּ</u> ב ב ב ב ב ב	ny): o mainta	satisfactor tinues tr	Comments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of <i>Exemplary, Needs Improvement</i> or <i>Un</i>

		•	
☐ Focus Indicator (check if yes)			
IV-F. Managing Conflict: Employs strategies for responding to disagreement and dissent, constructively resolving conflict and building consensus throughout a district or school community. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)			X
OVERALL Rating for Standard IV: Professional Culture The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by nurturing and sustaining a districtwide culture of reflective practice, high expectations, and continuous learning for staff.			×
Comments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of Exemplary, Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory): In very difficult times both nationally and locally Dr. Doherty adapted very well and engaged all the stakeholders professionally and collaboratively.	nsatisfacto holders	ry): profess	ionally and
		•	
		ŀ	

SUPERINTENDENT EVALUATION READING PUBLIC SCHOOLS

Summative Form

2020-2021 School Year

End-of-Cycle Summative Evaluation Report: Superintendent



Superintendent: Evaluator: Step 1: Assess Progress Towa Professional Practice Goal(s) Student Learning Goal(s) District Improvement Goal(s)	Superintendent: Dr. John Doherty Evaluator: Thomas Wise Signat Name Signat Step 1: Assess Progress Toward Goals (Reference performance goals; check one Professional Practice Goal(s) Did Not Meet Some Progress Signat Student Learning Goal(s) Did Not Meet Some Progress Signat District Improvement Goal(s) Did Not Meet Some Progress Signat	>rmance goals; che ☑ Some Progress ☑ Some Progress	Signature Ck one for each set of goal[s].) Significant Progress Significant Progress Significant Progress		2021-03-21 Date The control of the	ig-21 D Exceeded D Exceeded	de de de de de de de de de de de de de d
Professional Practice Goal		⊠ Some Progress		☐ Me	÷ -] Exceed	ded
Student Learning Goal(s)	☐ Did Not Meet	Some Progress	⊠ Significant Progress	☐ Me	~~ 	☐ Exceed	ded
District Improvement Goal		⊠ Some Progress	Significant Progress	□ Me		☐ Excee	ded
Unsatisfactory = Performance on a standard or overall has not significantly improved below the requirements of a standard or overall and is considered inadequate, or both. Needs Improvement/Developing = Performance on a standard or overall is below the Unsatisfactory at the time. Improvement is necessary and expected. Proficient = Proficient practice is understood to be fully satisfactory. This is the Exemplary = A rating of Exemplary indicates that practice significantly exceeds Profice.	Unsatisfactory = Performance on a standard or overall has not significantly improved following a rating of Needs Improvement, or performance is consistently below the requirements of a standard or overall and is considered inadequate, or both. Needs Improvement/Developing = Performance on a standard or overall is below the requirements of a standard or overall but is not considered to be Unsatisfactory at the time. Improvement is necessary and expected. Proficient = Proficient practice is understood to be fully satisfactory. This is the rigorous expected level of performance. Exemplary = A rating of Exemplary indicates that practice significantly exceeds Proficient and could serve as a model of practice regionally or statewide.	wing a rating of Needs Improvening a rating of Needs Improveniements of a standard or over ous expected level of performend could serve as a model of p	nent, or performance is consistently all but is not considered to be nance.	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
Standard I: Instructional Leadership	eadership					⊠	
Standard II: Management and Operations	and Operations					Ø	
Standard III: Family and Community Engagement	ommunity Engagement				⊠	٥	
Standard IV: Professional Culture	Culture		The control of the co		0	⊠	

End-of-Cycle Summative Evaluation Report: Superintendent



Unsatisfactory	Step 3: Rate Overall Summative Performance
Needs Improvement	Performance (Based on Step 1 and Step 2 r
⊠ Proficient	and Step 2 ratings; check one.)
Exemplary	

Step 4: Add Evaluator Comments

Comments and analysis are recommended for any rating but are required for an overall summative rating of Exemplary, Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory.

Comments

steps of improvement Overall, the year was dominated by the COVID-19 response. Thus, this review period, is lacking a great deal of evidence, but that is too be expected in a year like this. Further, the goal to review cycle was exceptionally short between the finalization of Goals in October / November 2020 and this review in March first year of a three-year cycle so we cannot even refer to evidence from prior years. Thus, this review will be high level in nature and focused on incremental 2021. Thus, for the great majority of measurement points, there is simply a lack of data and evidence. Further exacerbating this problem is that we are in the

committee agreed to was focused solely on Special Education. In that front, we have seen great progress with the Orkin Rubric, the selection of Lexia as the Student Learning Goal: Literacy Instruction

This goal is one that we have seen a good deal of progress in throughout the year. While I wish the goal was more broadly written, ultimately the goal the Early Education Screening tool, the Special Education Advisory Committee Sub Committee on Reading and the Budget Approval for the Special Education Literacy Coach. For these reasons, I believe we have seen Significant Progress.

expectation that the tasks will lead to the results we want, desire and need With that said, there is not currently any data aligned with this Goal so the rating is based on completed tasks, not positive measured results with the

Professional Practice Goal 1: Talent Diversification & Leadership Development

on its own as the issue is more of a systemic issue. For that reason, I have rated this Some Progress studying DESE and MASS materials on the diversification of the workforce. There is much to be done in this area and it is not something Reading can solve groundwork for improvement in this area going forward. Additionally, it is apparent from materials provided to the Committee that Dr. Doherty has been A significant movement happened earlier this year - the hiring of a new Director of Human Resources. The decision to upgrade the position to a Director level was one advocated for by the Committee and supported by Dr. Doherty. Ultimately, it led to a great hire in Kerry Meisinger. That hire laid the

Professional Practice Goal 2: School Physical and Psychological Safety

with video cameras, policy updates, etc. is also improving the physical safety of our staff and students. dispensers of hand washing fluid, the evidence of physical safety abounds. Additionally, the work underway to improve the physical structure of the building students and did an excellent job of keeping the schools save. From MERV-13 filters to signs on the ground indicating the direction of walking traffic to Physical safety was a driving factor throughout much of the COVID-19 response process. Dr. Doherty and his staff rightfully focused on the safety of staff and

School where our students were only able to be in person for learning two days of every two weeks for the entire first semester and a few weeks of the second. These were incredibly tough decisions and a huge weight on Dr. Doherty and his staff but it would have been good to see a more proactive communication strategy with parents and a quicker ability to pivot when it was clear that the high school was not going to be able to hire the 20+ paras Unfortunately, this year was a troublesome year for some of our students from a psychological safety perspective. This was especially evident at the High necessary to make the original hybrid plan work.

them find their paths in life. Overall, as there is still some physical work to do and quite a bit of work to do on the psychological side, I have rated this goal as end, it would be great to see if we can procure and execute a social emotional learning curriculum that can meet the needs of our high schoolers and help On this front, work continues, and we will have much to accomplish throughout the rest of the school year and going into the 2021-2022 school year. To this

on the assumed status of this writing, I am rating this as Significant Progress but that may change after the meeting on March 18th, 2021. discussion as much of the progress has been accomplished by the Portrait of the Graduate committee behind closed doors, per the agreed process. Based School PTO meeting earlier this month to get a preview and was able to see that it appears most of the work is done. I am looking forward to the public Professional Practice Goal 3: District Vision of the Graduate
This goal is one we have heard a good deal about in the background but have not seen much evidence of so far. Fortunately, I was able to attend the High

Professional Practice Goal 4: Exit Plan

provide regular status updates. Further, Dr. Doherty and Mr. Milaschewski have agreed on a protocol so Mr. Milaschewski can have a great deal of input in the decision to hire the new High School principal for the 2021-2022 school year and beyond There has been Significant Progress on this goal as well and Dr. Doherty has been meeting with his successor on a regular basis to transition knowledge and

District Improvement Goal A: Pandemic Education

data that demonstrates the great majority of Students, Teachers and Parents appreciated the amount of synchronous learning their students received this online resources like Newsela. While we are waiting on the assessment of the Teachers and Curriculum Coordinators on the value of all the tools, we have introduction of a suite of tools for use in the process of Teaching and Learning such as Zoom, Google Classroom (more broadly rolled out) and various other year. We know many other districts had much less synchronous learning that Reading had and for that we are thankful to the Central Office, Principals and The majority of the feedback on this goal has been covered under the Physical and Psychological Safety Goal above. Additionally, we have seen the

but we must face the reality that many of our students have not yet learned what they should have by now. This may be most impactful in the early school time this coming summer. we execute assessments to determine where our students are so we can plan appropriately going into 2021-2022 school year - potentially including summer elementary years where science has demonstrated the elasticity of the brain is especially important for how a child learns to read. Therefore, it is critical that data showing either District Determined Measures or external measures to assess the impact of the Pandemic. Some like to avoid terms like "learning loss", What we have not been able to see yet is the overall effectiveness of the synchronous and asynchronous we have engaged our students in. We do not have

So, overall, due to the heavy lift that was necessary to get our synchronous learning in process, this category also received a Significant Progress rating

District Improvement Goal B: Standards Based Instructional Systems to meet the needs of ALL Learners

gaps." So, while I know a good amount has been done already, I also know that there is a LOT left to do and thus I have to rate this as Some Progress. I student learning experience, with growing evidence that curricular materials matter particularly for those students experiencing opportunity and performance and meaty and as our Student Opportunity Act submission says, "Access to high-quality, standards aligned curricular materials is a critical component of the applaud Dr. Doherty and his team for diving into this work, setting structures in place to refresh regularly and focusing on strong, empirically research-based Professional Development will be critical in the future to realize the full potential of the various tools and resources we are procuring. This work is important We have so much more to do in this space as well and quite a lot of that work will eventually fall on the shoulders of our Elementary Teachers. Therefore, body of work covering all our students of all Racial, Economic and Learning backgrounds. We have seen the completion of the Curriculum Guides for K-12. Math curriculum tools to analysis of Math curriculum tools for K-6 and new building Social Studies curriculum materials for K-5. This is an exceptionally busy There is quite a lot in progress for this greater goal. Ranging from Early Literacy Screeners to Curriculum Guides to implementation of new Middle School

District Improvement Goal C: Healthy and Successful Learning Environment for ALL Learners

equity lead to achievement equity." This is visualized with the following graphic: that was shared with the School Committee as a resource for this review cycle. The three part approach "proposes that teacher quality and programmatic continue to focus this work on all of our students with various backgrounds and abilities. Therefore, I was very appreciative of the Equity Audit Framework work in progress on Social Emotional Learning, Discipline Practices, Student Handbooks and Staff Recruitment. All of those will help. We also need to This goal is one with less substantive progress so far. The groundwork is in the process of being laid, but not a lot of work has been completed. There is

Equity Audit Framework and Indicators

Less than 20%-10% difference between school and district average:
 Teacher Education (number or percentage holding a particular degree)
 Teacher Experience (number of years as a teacher)
 Teacher Mobility (number of percentage of teachers leaving or not leaving a campus on an annual basis)
 Teachers without Certification or Assigned Outside Area of Teaching Expertise (number of percentage)

Teacher Quality

Programmatic Equity

- Special Education (e.g., over-assignment of students of color and students experiencing poverty, especially among the most severe categories of disability)
 Ciffed and Talented Education (e.g.
- Gifted and Talented Education (e.g., under-representation of students of color and students experiencing poverty)
 Bilingual Education (e.g., percentage of English learner students making academic
- Discipline (e.g., over-representation of students of color receiving discipline that results in loss of time in classroom learning)

progress)

 State Achievement Tests (e.g., proficiency rates)

Drop/Push Out or Graduation Rate
 High School Tracks/Curricula (e.g.,

High School Tracks/Curricula (e.g., percentage of students enrolled in basic, advanced, and/or college preparatory curricula)
 College Admission Testing (e.g., scores on SAT, ACT, and/or AP exams)

Achievement

Equity

Calculated for groups of students based on gender, race/ethnicity, whether they are an English learner, whether they are experiencing poverty, whether they have a disability

Source: Educational Administration Quarterly

So, I am confident this is on the right track, but we have a lot of work to do. Thus, once again, I have to rate this as Some Progress

Superintendent's Performance Goals



District Improvement Goal C	District Improvement Goal B	District Improvement Goal A	Professional Practice Goal 4	Professional Practice Goal 3	Professional Practice Goal 2	Professional Practice Goal 1	Student Learning Goal	Superintendents and aligned to at Goals
Ä	1A, 1B, 1C, 1F	1E, IIA, IIIC, IVF	IIB, IVC	IIIA, IIIC,	IIA, IIE	IIB	1A, 1B, 1C, 1E	must identify at least one focus Focus Indicator
Based on quantitative and qualitative data analysis, the school district will review our equity and social justice practices, analyze student social emotional growth, and refine systems of support to ensure a healthy and successful learning community while meeting the unique needs of students.	Based on qualitative and quantitative data analysis, the school district will evaluate and refine standards-based instructional systems to meet the needs of all learners. Please note that state standardized data will look different and may be less available in a post pandemic world.	Based on our work since March, 2020, the school district will build a foundation of teaching and learning practices, infrastructure changes, assessment practices, and operations efficiencies to prepare schools for current conditions and future challenges in a post-pandemic world. This strategic objective may be extended based on the trajectory of the pandemic.	During the 2020-2021 School Year, I will create and implement an exit plan to support the transition of the new Superintendent of the Reading Public Schools. The success of this plan will be measured by the timely completion of all action items in this year's District Improvement plan and Superintendent's goals, other annual tasks, and give the incoming Superintendent as much information as possible for a successful transition on July 1, 2021.	District Vision of the Graduate (Aligned with Strategic Objective A)-Over the next school year, I will work with Reading educators, parents, local officials and community members to learn about and develop a vision of what skills, knowledge, and dispositions Reading students should attain and develop during their preK-12 years in our schools. Through these conversations, we will develop and receive approval of a district vision of the graduate which will be used as a vision statement for the Reading Public Schools and for the NEASC Accreditation Process.	School Physical and Psychological Safety (Aligned with Strategic Objective C)-During the 2020-2021 school year, we will continue to build and implement an infrastructure and a set of policies and procedures that will support the physical and psychological safety of our staff and students. The evidence to support a successful completion of this goal will be a positive overall response in the 2020-2021 staff survey of PRIDE in school leadership, shared decision-making, and school climate as evidenced by the PRIDE staff survey and a positive overall response in student learning environment scores (school life) as evidenced by the PRIDE student survey, VOCAL data (school climate section) and YRBS data (mental health section).	During the 2020-21 school year, the Reading Public Schools will begin a three-year plan to develop and implement a talent diversification and leadership development strategy. The success of this plan will be evidenced by an increase in pipeline development, recruitment, and retention of staff of color. Through the implementation of this plan, we will see an increase in staff of color by 100% (6 to 12) by the end of the 2022-23 school year and an increase in Reading staff who have been promoted from a teaching position to a leadership position (i.e. curriculum coordinator, department chair, assistant principal, team chair, principal, central office administrator). Currently, we have 10 former Reading teachers who are in leadership positions.	During the 2020-2023 School Years, we will improve our literacy evaluation process, enhance our reading instructional strategies, and structure our progress monitoring more effectively for students who are struggling readers. Based on our work, we will see progress as evidenced by reviewing previous case studies of students who struggled with Tier 2 interventions and comparing the following: an increase in identifying struggling readers earlier, students demonstrating growth on their IEP literacy goals, and fewer out of district placements with specific learning disabilities.	Superintendents must identify at least one student learning goal, one professional practice goal, and two to four district improvement goals. Goals should be SMART and aligned to at least one focus Indicator from the Standards for Effective Administrative Leadership. Goals Focus Goals Indicator Description
	<u> </u>				<u> </u>			Did Not Meet
⊠	⊠				⊠	⊠		Some Progress
		Ø	⊠	⊠		а	Ø	Significant Progress
						0		Met
		0						Exceeded

	Standards and Indicators for E Superintendents should identify 1-2 focus	Standards and Indicators for Effective Administrative Leadership Superintendents should identify 1-2 focus Indicators per Standard aligned to their goals.	
i. Instructional Leadership	II. Management & Operations	III. Family & Community Engagement	.IV. Professional Culture
I-A. Curriculum	II-A. Environment	III-A. Engagement	IV-A. Commitment to High Standards
I-B. Instruction	II-B. HR Management and Development	III-B. Sharing Responsibility	IV-B. Cultural Proficiency
I-C. Assessment	II-C. Scheduling & Management Information	III-C. Communication	IV-C. Communication
I-D. Evaluation	Systems	III-D. Family Concerns	IV-D. Continuous Learning
I-E. Data-Informed Decision making	II-D. Law, Ethics and Policies		IV-E. Shared Vision
I-F. Student Learning	II-E. Fiscal Systems		IV-F. Managing Conflict

Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard I: Instructional Leadership



Rate supe	Rate each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to superintendent goal(s).)	c	N	Р	m
I-A	Curriculum: Ensures that all instructional staff design effective and rigorous standards-based units of instruction consisting of well-structured lessons with measurable outcomes. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)			×	
ĒΒ	Instruction: Ensures that practices in all settings reflect high expectations regarding content and quality of effort and work, engage all students, and are personalized to accommodate diverse learning styles, needs, interests, and levels of readiness. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)		o.	⊠	
-ċ.	Assessment: Ensures that all principals and administrators facilitate practices that propel personnel to use a variety of formal and informal methods and assessments to measure student learning, growth, and understanding and make necessary adjustments to their practice when students are not learning. Focus Indicator (check if yes)		⊠		
I-D.	Evaluation: Ensures effective and timely supervision and evaluation of all staff in alignment with state regulations and contract provisions. ☐ Focus Indicator (check if yes)	а		⊠	
Ÿ	Data-Informed Decision Making: Uses multiple sources of evidence related to student learning—including state, district, and school assessment results and growth data—to inform school and district goals and improve organizational performance, educator effectiveness, and student learning. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)			Ø	
Ä	Student Learning: Demonstrates expected impact on student learning based on multiple measures of student learning, growth, and achievement, including student progress on common assessments and statewide student growth measures where available. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)	The Student Le descriptions learning base growth, and ac determinin	eming Indicator of practice. Evi ed on multiple m shievement mus g a performance	The Student Learning Indicator does not have corresponding descriptions of practice. Evidence of impact on student learning based on multiple measures of student learning, growth, and achievement must be taken into account when determining a performance rating for this Standard.	corresponding on student nt learning, count when tandard.
OVEI	OVERALL Rating for Standard I: Instructional Leadership The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by cultivating a shared vision that makes powerful teaching and learning the central focus of schooling.			×	

Comments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of Exemplary, Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory):

hybrid for that week) in school for all but one week this academic year. In a year in which there was a lot of fear and questioning, he held true to the numbers and students. Dr. Doherty kept close eye on the various cases, the transmissions in and out of school, the community spread and kept our students (the ones in kept the district on a steady ship while keeping the schools open. they should be. Given the lack of other evidence in that space we need to see some improvement so we can plan appropriately for the 2021-2022 school year. pandemic response focused synchronous instruction. Unfortunately, we have not seen or heard much about the assessment of where are students are vs. where Throughout the year, we have seen focus on curriculum tool refresh and a process of choosing high quality tools. We have heard about greatly improved This year, a year like no other, was one in which Data-Informed Decision Making was crucial with respect to COVID-19 protocols and what it meant for our

With respect to the other categories in this section, there either is not data, or I do not believe it is reasonable to rate poorly given the challenging year we have all been through

Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard II: Management & Operations



	⊠	. 🗆		OVERALL Rating for Standard II: Management & Operations The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by ensuring a safe, efficient, and effective learning environment, using resources to implement appropriate curriculum, staffing, and scheduling.	OV The effe
×	□			II-E. Fiscal Systems: Develops a budget that supports the district's vision, mission, and goals; allocates and manages expenditures consistent with district- and school-level goals and available resources. ☐ Focus Indicator (check if yes)	<u> </u>
	⊠	_ ·		II-D. Law, Ethics, and Policies: Understands and complies with state and federal laws and mandates, school committee policies, collective bargaining agreements, and ethical guidelines. ☐ Focus Indicator (check if yes)	
	3	⊠		II-C. Scheduling and Management Information Systems: Uses systems to ensure optimal use of data and time for teaching, learning, and collaboration, minimizing disruptions and distractions for school-level staff. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)	등
	×	О		II-B. Human Resources Management and Development: Implements a cohesive approach to recruiting, hiring, induction, development, and career growth that promotes high-quality and effective practice. ☐ Focus Indicator (check if yes)	
	×			II-A. Environment: Develops and executes effective plans, procedures, routines, and operational systems to address a full range of safety, health, emotional, and social needs. ☐ Focus Indicator (check if yes)	= →
т	Ŧ	NI	U	Rate each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to superintendent goal(s).)	Rato sup

Comments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of Exemplary, Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory)

correction had a negative impact on a few employees and a few families that were dependent on the Extended Day services. and other challenges around the Pandemic. Fortunately, we caught that error and were able to make a course correction, but unfortunately, that course and ability to align and manage spend appropriately. This year, unfortunately, we did face a small problem with the Extended Day budget due to low enrollment One of the biggest strengths of the district is the Fiscal Management. Overall, we continue to see excellent forecasting, use of grant money where appropriate

important for the implementation of the greater Synchronous Hybrid Model that Reading Public Schools implemented much work at the beginning of the year to train the staff on the tools that were selected over the Summer for their use. This development of staff skill was message for the staff and the community that Dr. Doherty cares, listens, and works to do the best for all parties involved when he can. Additionally, there was Doherty and his staff were able to process those leaves successfully. With that said, the ability to provide accommodations for 74% of the staff was a strong and/or continue to work in the schools throughout the pandemic. There were other teachers for health or family reasons that required full or partial leave and Dr processed 122 different leave inquiries for the staff. That led to setting up accommodations for 78 teachers and 12 paraeducators to be able to stay on the job new Director of Human Resources this year and she has already proven to be a strong addition. Prior to that hiring, Dr. Doherty and other members of the staff Regarding Human Resources Management and Development space, Dr. Doherty, with agreement from the School Committee was able to successfully recruit a

working through now. changing environment Dr. Doherty and the Principals navigated, including the Phase-In during the Fall and the on-going migration to Full In-person they are present and Dr. Doherty, the Principals and the Teachers deserve a good deal of positive acknowledgement for that fact. Additionally, it is important to note the of unscheduled time but compared to many other districts around the state and in our greater peer group, our students had more "time on task" with a Teacher acknowledge the amount of Synchronous learning that was built into the greater Reading Public Schools schedules throughout the year. There were some blocks of planning, discussing, replanning, etc. While this was a big challenge that led to my Needs Improvement mark in this area, I do feel it is important to acknowledge the need for a different model at the High School earlier in the process, saving the Administration, Teachers and School Committee countless hours incomplete understanding of the impact the Hybrid Model would have on the complexity of the High School schedule and the number of staff that would be Administration to spend countless hours discussing options and ultimate to change course on the model at the High School. This, in my opinion, ties back to necessary to facilitate such a model. While there were a lot of moving parts throughout the summer and early fall, it would have been much better to Dr. Doherty's suggestion. That problem, the inability to hire enough para educators for the planned Hybrid Model caused the School Committee and Unfortunately, from a Scheduling perspective, we had a challenge at the High School this year related to staffing and the Hybrid Model we chose to implement on

the job done. Additionally, we have seen a good deal of work on School Committee Policy this year which Dr. Doherty has helped us navigate times throughout the year as the models and responses to the Pandemic changed. For this, I applaud his flexibility and willingness to roll up his sleeves and get Doherty was a key player in the process of working through the Memoranda and obtaining alignment with the Unions - some of which had to be done multiple Policy wise, we did see some improvement this year and the execution of many Memoranda of Agreement with the five unions that help the District run. Dr.

Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard III: Family and Community **Engagement**



m	פ	2	c	Rate each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to
Massaccuselts Department of ELEMBNTARY & SECONDARY EDUCATION	Massacra ELEMBN EDU		ure	Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard IV: Professional Culture
y for ement. have sarlier in the The ith a either	r. Dohert) ne engage nterview nd he did spective e spective e st to getti example. ninated w included e	applaud Di ore genuin ntendent li imittees ar barent pers with respe was one e which culm ints were i	tities for m he Superi ation com tand the p I parents I parents teer idea ustration of	Stepping out of his comfort zone and executing multiple Zoom Forums with Parents throughout the year, he missed opportunities for more genuine engagement. We heard how many communities involved Parents in the planning process for the Pandemic response as we went through the Superintendent Interview processes and that type of proactive engagement is something Dr. Doherty should strive for. While he did have many preparation committees and he did have one SEPAC board member engaged, he could have had other parents engaged at different levels to help assess and understand the parent perspective earlier in the process. These challenges continued throughout the school year and manifested most in the response to the High School parents with respect to getting the High School students back in the building for more than two days every two weeks. The waffling we saw on the Parent Volunteer idea was one example. The inability or lack of willingness to engage parents in the planning and discussion in November or December led to increased frustration which culminated with a Parent led Zoom meeting with the School Committee and the local press. This sort of escalation could have been avoided if more parents were included either during the summer or in the November / December / January planning period.
ications form	commun about the	nis weekly members :	tent with I ommittee	Unfortunately, this section of the review is one in which we saw the most issues throughout the year. Dr. Doherty was consistent with his weekly communications throughout the summer and the school year. He also was able to adapt quite well to feedback received from many School Committee members about the form and structure of the e-mails as demonstrated by his conversion to more of a bullet point delivery.
		<i>T</i> y):	satisfacto	Comments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of Exemplary, Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory):
		· 🗵		OVERALL Rating for Standard III: Family & Community Engagement The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff through effective partnerships with families, community organizations, and other stakeholders that support the mission of the district and its schools.
				III-D. Family Concerns: Addresses family and community concerns in an equitable, effective, and efficient manner. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)
	×			III-C. Communication: Engages in regular, two-way, culturally proficient communication with families and community stakeholders about student learning and performance. ☐ Focus Indicator (check if yes)
	0	`. ⊠		 III-B. Sharing Responsibility: Continuously collaborates with families and community stakeholders to support student learning and development at home, school, and in the community. Focus Indicator (check if yes)
		⊠	0	 III-A. Engagement: Actively ensures that all families are welcome members of the classroom and school community and can contribute to the effectiveness of the classroom, school, district, and community. Focus Indicator (check if yes)
т	ד	2	Ū	Rate each focus Indicator and indicate the overall Standard rating below. (*Focus Indicators are those aligned to superintendent goal(s).)

End-of-Cycle Summative Evaluation Report: Superintendent

superintendent goal(s).)

IV-A. Commitment to High Standards: Fosters a shared commitment to high standards of service, teaching, and learning with high expectations for achievement for all. ☐ Focus Indicator (check if yes)		o ·	Ø	
IV-B. Cultural Proficiency: Ensures that policies and practices enable staff members and students to interact effectively in a culturally diverse environment in which students' backgrounds, identities, strengths, and challenges are respected. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)			×	
IV-C. Communication: Demonstrates strong interpersonal, written, and verbal communication skills. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)			Ø	٥
IV-D. Continuous Learning: Develops and nurtures a culture in which staff members are reflective about their practice and use student data, current research, best practices, and theory to continuously adapt practice and achieve improved results. Models these behaviors in his or her own practice. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)			×	
IV-E. Shared Vision: Successfully and continuously engages all stakeholders in the creation of a shared educational vision in which every student is prepared to succeed in postsecondary education and become a responsible citizen and global contributor. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)		×		
IV-F. Managing Conflict: Employs strategies for responding to disagreement and dissent, constructively resolving conflict and building consensus throughout a district or school community. □ Focus Indicator (check if yes)		⊠		
OVERALL Rating for Standard IV: Professional Culture The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by nurturing and sustaining a districtwide culture of reflective practice, high expectations, and continuous learning for staff.			⊠	
Comments and analysis (recommended for any overall rating; required for overall rating of Exemplary, Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory):	atisfacto	3):		
Another challenge we face as a district is communicating and adopting the Shared Vision. We see the execution of it run afoul from time to time leading to challenges in our community. Unfortunately, one such incident happened earlier this year with the "Woke Kindergarten" video that was distributed by Central Office staff without appropriate review. While that video had an intent to demonstrate some racial inequality it was executed poorly and should not have been distributed. This is an example of a Shared Vision that is not quite fully understood both within the school buildings and among the greater community. The Superintendent role needs to lead and model these sorts of tough questions, build that shared vision, and bring the school and greater community along.	that was oorly and the greater from times.	ne to time la distributed should no ater community	leading to d by Cent ot have be nunity. Th y along.	ral e
Regrading Communication and Managing Conflict, I first want to acknowledge the tricky line Dr. Doherty walked in responding to the "Woke Kindergarten" issue. Even though it was not his e-mail that led to the issue, he took ownership of the problem and published an e-mail to greater community to start the healing process. He also demonstrated his ability to work across Town and School lines and work to build consensus after the fact. For this, I applaud the effort. With that said, I am rating the Communication as Proficient and the Managing Conflict as Needs Improvement as the work was after the damage was done. The consensus building portion of this work – before a problem materializes – is the hard work but the work that most be done so he can be proactive, not reactive.	to the "V mmunity or this, I r the dam	Voke Kinde to start the applaud the nage was of	ergarten" e healing ne effort. done. The , not react	with
Additionally, another point of note is Dr. Doherty occasionally has challenges managing his frustration in public meetings. These are challenging times, and this nas been a challenging year, but the adage – never let them see you sweat – is one I would like Dr. Doherty to strive for. As a final note, I do want to, once again, recognize that Dr. Doherty took a step forward this year with the Zoom Community Forums he held many times. Those forums did get contentious on an	se are che final note add get of	These are challenging times, and this As a final note, I do want to, once again rums did get contentious on an	times, and it to, once is on an	d this again,
	(

Reading Public Schools School Committee Meeting Packet April 1, 2021



<u>Information / Correspondence</u>

From:

Robinson, Charles

Sent:

Monday, March 29, 2021 5:24 PM

To: Cc: Carolyn Johnson Lapierre, Samantha

Subject:

Re: Letter to Reading School Committee

Hi Ms. Johnson:

Thank you for the email and we appreciate your feedback. Thank you.

Chuck Robinson

From: Carolyn Johnson <celj11@gmail.com> Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 7:16 PM

To: DG School Committee < School Committee@reading.k12.ma.us>

Subject: Letter to Reading School Committee

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of Reading Public Schools. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender email address and know the content is safe.

I realize this letter is too late for the current meeting packet. Thank you.

Dear Reading School Committee Members,

I am writing in response to the discussion that took place at the March 18, 2021 meeting and in support of the Resolution Against Harassment. The differences in opinion over whether or not operational process should have prevented an anonymous email from being shared with the public prompted me to write a post on the Reading Parent Network/Reading Community Network Facebook page. The following is an edited and expanded version of that post:

If you're a regular reader of and contributor to the RPN/RCN Facebook page then you've probably seen the recent threads regarding the anonymous email sent to outgoing Principal Boynton. One of the issues these threads covered is her support of the LGBTQ community. I am the parent of a transgender teenager, and I would like to use this forum to provide some information for anyone who cares to understand why the transgender community needs allies.

The email in question is a clear example and expression of intolerance, hate, and bullying-type tactics. I respectfully disagree with Ms. Nazzaro's position alleging an abuse of operational procedures (the email should not have been in the purview of SC), particularly as it pertains to a staff person sharing the email with a member of School Committee. I am disappointed that the majority of the March 18 discussion focused on arguing that point. In fairness to Ms. Nazzaro, I do hope that that School Committee, as a whole, has the opportunity to revisit policies and procedures for clarification and agreement on how to handle anonymous correspondence. That being said, I argue there should never be a policy in place that prevents any email that is threatening toward any staff member, student, or community of people from being within bounds for School Committee discussion and part of the public record (keeping in mind names and other identifying elements may need to be omitted for privacy).

To support my position, here are some results from the "2015 U.S. Trans Survey," a report by the National Center for Transgender Equality (https://transequality.org/sites/default/files/docs/usts/USTS-Full-Report-Dec17.pdf):

- 29% of transgender people live in poverty, compared to 14% of the general population.
- 30% of transgender people report being homeless at some point in their lives, with 12% saying it was within the past 12 months.
- Transgender people experience unemployment at 3x the rate of the general population, with rates for people of color up to 4x the national unemployment rate.
- 30% of transgender people report being fired, denied a promotion, or experiencing mistreatment in the workplace due to their gender identity in the past 12 months.
- 31% of transgender people experienced mistreatment in the past year in a place of public accommodation, including 14% who were denied equal service, 24% who were verbally harassed, and 2% who were physically attacked because they were transgender.
- 40% of respondents reported attempting suicide in their lifetime, nearly nine times the attempted suicide rate in the United States (4.6%).
- Transgender people, particularly transgender women of color, face shockingly high rates of murder, homelessness, and incarceration. Most states and countries offer no legal protections in housing, employment, health care, and other areas where individuals experience discrimination based on their gender identity or expression."

I have been educating myself about transgender issues since my daughter came out to me, and have found websites such as GLAAD.org, thetrevorproject.org, PFLAG.org to be helpful. I have a lot more to learn, but one thing I've read and heard time and again is that "trying to change someone's gender is like trying to change a person's sexual orientation - it doesn't work" (from GLAAD.org). Gender identity is not a lifestyle choice, it is about who you are (which may be different than the sex you were assigned at birth).

I realize our school district has numerous issues to tackle, most especially, managing the approach and solutions to the COVID pandemic. I appreciate the opportunity to write to you about this very important and personal topic in the midst of what has been the most difficult year in decades for academic administrators, school boards, and teachers. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Johnson

From:

Robinson, Charles

Sent:

Monday, March 29, 2021 5:22 PM

To:

Quackenbush, Connie Lapierre, Samantha

Cc: Subject:

Re: Resolution

Hi Connie:

Thank you for the email and feedback.

Chuck Robinson

From: Quackenbush, Connie < Connie.Quackenbush@reading.k12.ma.us>

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 7:34 PM

To: DG School Committee <SchoolCommittee@reading.k12.ma.us>

Cc: Shankland, Richele <Richele.Shankland@reading.k12.ma.us>; Norton, Brendan

<Brendan.Norton@reading.k12.ma.us>

Subject: Resolution

Dear School Committee Members,

I'm writing this to urge you to pass the resolution written by Sean Brandt. I was mightily disheartened by the conversation last week opposing the resolution and I was moved by John Parks' action, understanding how hard it must have been to make the decision to address the letter.

As a member of the LGBT community, I can assure you that keeping these types of communications in the 'closet' does not support anybody, least of all the person receiving the communication. Whether that communication is anonymous or signed, these ideologies must be brought into the light so that we can condemn them wholeheartedly. The idea that an anonymous communication should be kept out of the light of day, when the receiver feels as though this should be brought to light, is the voice of privilege deciding what is best for the non-dominant culture. This goes against everything we are trying to teach our students and I see that we must also teach our community and school committee members. This 'ism' is just one of many where we need vigilance and forward-thinking to combat.

I would like to thank John Parks and Sean Brandt for their thoughtfulness and courage concerning this incident.

Respectfully,
Connie Quackenbush
6th grade science teacher Parker
Reading resident

Sent via the Samsung Galaxy S20 FE 5G, an AT&T 5G smartphone Get Outlook for Android

From: Bailey, Jessica

Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 10:22 PM

To: DG School Committee

Subject: RE: IMB Policy

Hello School Committee members,

I am writing to thank you for your thoughtful deliberation on the IMB/"Controversial Issues" policy in tonight's (Monday, 3/29). As a history and government teacher at RMHS, adviser of the Politics Club, and a member of the Teachers Against Racism group, this policy – and the student speech that it protects – is deeply important to me. I appreciate the School Committee members' willingness to consider and answer public comment from community members and teachers, and I feel that the policy that was approved will protect the right of our students, regardless of their political views, to participate in meaningful civic discussion in the classroom. I also believe that you all modeled that kind of civic participation in your deliberations tonight. Your willingness to engage in this conversation and approve a policy that protects student speech makes me very proud to be a member of the Reading Public Schools community.

Thank you, Jess Bailey, RMHS teacher

From:

Robinson, Charles

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2021 9:05 AM

To:

Lapierre, Samantha

Subject:

Fw: Communication forum

From: Robinson, Charles < Charles. Robinson@reading.k12.ma.us>

Sent: Tuesday, March 30, 2021 12:04 PM

To: Robinson, Charles < Charles. Robinson@reading.k12.ma.us>

Subject: Re: Communication forum

Hi Ms Rubin:

Thank you for the email and I appreciate your feedback and concerns. I will discuss this with the staff and figure out what type of solution we can offer.

Thanks.

Chuck Robinson

From: Robinson, Charles < Charles. Robinson@reading.k12.ma.us>

Sent: Tuesday, March 30, 2021 12:01 PM

To: Doherty, John < John. Doherty@reading.k12.ma.us>

Subject: Fw: Communication forum

Can we discuss this. Thanks.

From: Krista < kmreading 33@gmail.com > Sent: Tuesday, March 30, 2021 11:00 AM

To: DG School Committee <SchoolCommittee@reading.k12.ma.us>

Subject: Communication forum

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of Reading Public Schools. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender email address and know the content is safe.

Mr. Chuck Robinson Chair, School Committee Reading, MA

Mr. Robinson,

I respectfully request consideration of an alternative platform for communication for public input for the school committee meetings. While I do consider myself somewhat technically savvy, I do not possess the skill of fast typing. I find it challenging to draft an email, and pay attention to the meeting at the same time.

While trying to figure out what I wanted to say in writing, I lost the opportunity to send my comment; the committee moved onto the next subject.

I wish there was the ability to call into the meeting (via phone), or be "admitted" in via a platform such as Zoom. Email alone is just not ideal.

I appreciate your consideration of this request.

Sincerely,

Krista Rubin 31 Fairchild Drive

From:

Robinson, Charles

Sent:

Tuesday, March 30, 2021 11:53 AM

To: Cc: Karen Janowski Lapierre, Samantha

Subject:

Re: Comment

Hi Karen,

I hope all is well. Thanks for the email and feedback.

I always appreciate and value your perspective.

Chuck Robinson

From: Karen Janowski < karen.janowski@gmail.com >

Sent: Tuesday, March 30, 2021 2:01 PM

To: DG School Committee < School Committee@reading.k12.ma.us >

Subject: Fwd: Comment

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of Reading Public Schools. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender email address and know the content is safe.

When educators and students discuss difficult topics, let's be honest and recognize the elephant in the room. Teachers hold a very powerful and influential position (for both positive and negative impact). Students are always looking for teacher approval as they are dependent on teachers for grades, feedback, and recommendations. It is an unequal position and causes an imbalance of power. Students perceive teachers' viewpoints (including non-verbal cues), especially when the teachers are members of advocacy groups. How can we ensure that teachers will allow discussion from all points of view without consequences?

The issues that were discussed at last night's meeting showed deserved support for teachers. How can we be confident that students with opposing viewpoints are supported as well during classroom discussions? Our best teachers respect and encourage all viewpoints without displaying their own. When the curriculum includes more challenging topics, they provide instructional materials from all points of view. It never becomes an issue of agreeing or disagreeing with a teacher around conversations. Unfortunately, it is difficult for some to withhold their political biases and that can stifle free expression with unintended consequences. Students should never be put in a position to have to agree or disagree with a teacher's beliefs. That is the imbalance I referenced earlier. That is a concern that was not addressed last night and I believe must be acknowledged.

(Please confirm your receipt as I'm not sure if this is the correct email address. The website says something different).

Karen Janowski 30 Azalea Circle

Reading Public Schools School Committee Meeting Packet April 1, 2021



<u>Calendar</u>

2020-21 School Committee Calendar Topics

Please note that this calendar may change depending on the status of the current health crisis and/or availability of presenters and topic material in consultation with the Chair

An Asterik* indicates office half hour for this session at 6:30 p.m. All meetings will be in the RMHS Schettini Library unless noted.

Date	Topic	Group
July 9*	Discuss Elementary Space Plan	Administration
	Reorganization	School Committee
July 26	RMHS Class of 2020 Graduation	
July 29	Back to School Plans	Administration
Remote	Superintendent Search Process	School Committee
August-6	Preliminary School Reopening Plan Vote	Administration
Remote	Tremminary sensor respersing a furrivote	rannisadan
August 20	First Reading Policy EBCFA — Face Coverings	School Committee
	First Reading Policy IHBHE Remote Learning	School Committee
Remote	Executive Session	
August 27	Executive Session	Administration
	School Reopening Plan	Administration
Remote	Second Reading Policy EBCFA – Face Coverings	School Committee
	Second Reading Policy IHBHE Remote Learning	School Committee
September 1	Primary Election	
Sept. 10	Book Discussion	School Committee
	Reopening Plan — Athletics & Extra Curricular	Administration
Remote		
September 23	Financial Forum	Finance Committee
September 24	Fall Reopening Update	School Committee
	Equity Book Discussion	
	FY20 End of Year Summary	
	Preliminary Discussion of District Improvement Plan	
October 1 Remote	Superintendent Search - Interviews of Selected Proposers	School Committee
October-7	Financial Forum II	Finance Committee
October 15	Appointment of Superintendent to Collaborative Boards	Administration
Remote	First Reading of Policy ECAF	School Committee
	First Reading of JLCB	School Committee
	First Reading of Policy AC	School Committee
	Approval of RMHS Student Handbook	Administration
October 21	Financial Forum III	Finance Committee
October 29	Fall Reopening Update	Administration
Remote	Second Reading of Policy ECAF	School Committee
	Second Reading of JLCB	School Committee
	Second Reading of Policy AC	School Committee
	Enrollment Update	Administration
November 2 Remote	Superintendent Search Process	School Committee

5:00 p.m.		
November 5 Remote Social Media Coordinators Gaffen	Elementary Space Refresher District and Superintendent's Goals Introduction Second Reading of Tabled Policy JLCB Second Reading of Tabled Policy AC	Administration Administration School Committee School Committee
November 19	Curriculum Update	Administration
Remote	District and Superintendent's Goals - Vote	Administration
	First Reading Policy JI First Reading of Policy IMB	School Committee School Committee
November 23	FY21 Budget Update Superintendent Search Process	CFO School Committee
Remote Week of November 30		Cabaal Committee
	Superintendent Search – Advertising Finalized Position Posted	School Committee
December	Finance Committee/Select Board FY22 Budget	
December 3 Remote	Introduce New HR Director School Calendar	Administration Administration
ocial Media Coordinators	Superintendent Search — Appoint Preliminary Screening Committee Second Reading Policy JI	School Committee
Wise Parks	Continued First Reading of Policy IMB First Reading of BDF — Advisory Committees to the School	School Committee
December 7	Committee	School Committee
Remote	Permanent Building Committee Meeting	
December 17 Remote	FY22 Prebudget Presentation RMHS Student Handbook Update & Review/Guidance Update Student Opportunity Act	Finance RMHS
	Second Reading of Policy BDF – Advisory Committees to the School Committee	Administration School Committee
January 5 4:00 p.m. Remote	Superintendent Search — Screening Committee Orientation	School Committee
January 6	Superintendent Search — Application Deadline	School Committee
January 7 Remote ocial Media Coordinators Rebinson	FY22 Budget Discussion FY21 Capital Plan	Administration
Brandt		
January 11 Remote	Superintendent Search — Screening Committee meets to Select Semi-Finalists to be Interviewed	School Committee
January 14	FY22 Budget Discussion — Regular Day & Special Education	Administration
January 19-&-20	Superintendent Search — Screening Committee Interviews Semi- Finalists	School Committee
January 21	FY22 Budget Discussion Public Hearing on FY22 Budget	Administration School Committee
	Questions	Administration
January 23	Superintendent Screening Committee Semi-Finalist Interviews	School Committee
January 25	FY22 Budget Discussion Final Vote	Administration School Committee

January 26	Superintendent Search - Interviews of Semi-Finalists	School Committee
January 27	Superintendent Search - Concludes Interviews of Semi-Finalists and	School Committee
January 28	Finalist Selection Presentation of Finalists to School Committee	
Parisar 7 20	Second Reading of Policy IMB	
Week of February 4 - 10	Superintendent Search – Site Visits	School Committee
Social Media Coordinators	Superintendent Sections	School continued
Robinson		
Nazzaro		
February 9 th	Superintendent of Schools Candidate Interview – Tom Milachewski	School Committee
February 10 th	7:15 p.m. – 9:00 p.m. Superintendent of Schools Candidate Interview – Matthew Janger	School Committee
reoras, 25	Superintendent of Schools Candidate Interview — Watthew Janger Superintendent of Schools Candidate Interview — Stephen Zadravec	эсноог соппиниее
	7:00 p.m — 10:00 p.m	
February 11	Superintendent of Schools Candidate Deliberation and Decision	
, coraci, 22	Superintendent of Schools Candidate Deliberation and Decision	School Committee
February 24	Finance Committee	FY22 Budget
m. j ~- 1	Tillulice committee	Presentation
March 4	Citizen's Proposal for Park Bench	Administration
Social Media Coordinators	Superintendent's Evaluation Process and District Improvement Plan	Superintendent
Nazzaro/Wise	Update	Superinteriacine
	Kindergarten Enrollment Update	Superintendent
	Special Education and Student Services	Superintendent
	High School Principal Search Process	Superintendent
	2 nd Reading of Policy IMB	School Committee
	Winter Hybrid Update	Superintendent
	Superintendent's Contract (Executive Session)	School Committee
March 10	Finance Committee	Town Core and Capital
		Plan-Presentation
Warch 17	Finance Committee	Vote on TM Articles
March-18	Portrait of Graduate Update	RMHS Administration
	Dissolution of Superintendent Screening Advisory Committee	School Committee
	Spring In Person Update	Superintendent
March 29	Approval of Resolution	School Committee
	2 nd Reading of Policy IMB	School Committee
	Approval of Assistant Superintendent Search Timeline	School Committee
April 1	Intermunicipal Agreement with Wakefield – POST Program	Administration
Social Media Coordinators	Superintendent Evaluation	School Committee
Robinson/Gaffen	Vote on Last Day of School	School Committee
	Birch Meadow Master Plan Presentation	Recreation
- <u>.</u>		Department
April 6	Town Election	
April 15	FY21 Quarterly Budget Update	Finance
April 15	FY21 Quarterly Budget Update FY21 Quarterly Personnel Update	Finance Human Resources
April 15	· · · · · ·	
	FY21 Quarterly Personnel Update	Human Resources
April 15 April 26, 29, May 3, 6	FY21 Quarterly Personnel Update 1 st Reading of Policy Updates	Human Resources School Committee
	FY21 Quarterly Personnel Update 1 st Reading of Policy Updates District Space Discussion	Human Resources School Committee
April 26, 29, May 3, 6	FY21 Quarterly Personnel Update 1 st Reading of Policy Updates District Space Discussion Town Meeting	Human Resources School Committee Administration
April 26, 29, May 3, 6 May 13	FY21 Quarterly Personnel Update 1 st Reading of Policy Updates District Space Discussion. Town Meeting School Choice-Public Hearing	Human Resources School Committee Administration School Committee
April 26, 29, May 3, 6 May 13 Social Media Coordinators	FY21 Quarterly Personnel Update 1 st Reading of Policy Updates District Space Discussion. Town Meeting School Choice-Public Hearing Special Education/SEPAC Presentation	Human Resources School Committee Administration School Committee Administration/SEPAC
April 26, 29, May 3, 6 May 13 Social Media Coordinators	FY21 Quarterly Personnel Update 1st Reading of Policy Updates District Space Discussion. Town Meeting School Choice-Public Hearing Special Education/SEPAC Presentation Regular Day and Athletic Bus Transportation Contact Approval	Human Resources School Committee Administration School Committee Administration/SEPAC Finance and
April 26, 29, May 3, 6 May 13 Social Media Coordinators	FY21 Quarterly Personnel Update 1st Reading of Policy Updates District Space Discussion. Town Meeting School Choice-Public Hearing Special Education/SEPAC Presentation Regular Day and Athletic Bus Transportation Contact Approval (Tentative)	Human Resources School Committee Administration School Committee Administration/SEPAC Finance and Operations
April 26, 29, May 3, 6 May 13 Social Media Coordinators TBD	FY21 Quarterly Personnel Update 1st Reading of Policy Updates District Space Discussion. Town Meeting School Choice-Public Hearing Special Education/SEPAC Presentation Regular Day and Athletic Bus Transportation Contact Approval (Tentative) 2nd Reading of Policy Updates	Human Resources School Committee Administration School Committee Administration/SEPAC Finance and Operations School Committee

(RMHS Field House or Field)		
June 10*	Reorganization	School Committee
Social Media Coordinators	Liaison Assignments	School Committee
Gaffen/Wise	FY21 Quarterly Budget Update	Administration
•	FY21 Quarterly Personnel Update	Administration
	SC Final Vote on FY22 Budget	School Committee
	FY 21 Budget Transfers (if needed)	School Committee
	Declare Surplus Equipment	Finance
June 17	Teacher/Staff Recognition	Administration
	Curriculum Update	Learning and Teaching
	· ·	Team

.

٠